State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-114
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner did not give him an opportunity to be heard at a protective order hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 28, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on May 28, 2015.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-114

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judg	ge's Name:	
what you believe times, and places along with copies	se this form or plain paper of the same six the judge did that constitutes judicial misc that will help the commission understand (not originals) of relevant court document e complaint for your records.	conduct. Be specific and list all of l your concerns. Additional pages	the names, dates, s may be attached
On	I was served with an Injunction	n against harassment ord	der.
1. He comp	lained I tried to follow him to wor	k several times over a	period.
I only tried	and when he drove in a manner	that endangered everyone	on the freeway I
decided not to	do that any more. I only wanted to fir	nd out where he worked to ga	ımish his wages.
2. He accus	ed me of calling him a thief to hi	s employer.	
After he car	me home with a one o	day. I only called to verify	employment.
I had reason t	h believe he was working under the tab	ole for cash money. When I ca	lled his employer
his employer	asked me my name and I told him	n. He immediately called m	e a nasty name
and hung up	on me. I then e-mailed his reference	es on his website as to how	unprofessional
his boss was	s.		
3. His third o	omplaint said I lied to the	and had his li	cense revoked.
This is not	true. I had seen a public service	announcement that if you	ı are buying a
vehicle you	u need to check and make there	are no liens against it. I c	alled them and
asked the	m if I could put a lien on his pic	kup with a judgment fror	n small claims
court. The	y said yes. I went to the	and and gave them my	
of my judg	gment. The lady went to the bac	k room and came	back and said
they were	sending it to the	dept. I then told her	the did
not have a	anything to do with the damage t	o She then sa	id I know.
That same	e afternoon I explained to	that I had applie	d for a lien
on her	pickup and I would sign o	off on it when I received r	ny
When I re	ceived the notice his license was	s revoked I again called I	ner and
apologize	d and told her to call them up an	d explain that there was	a mistake.
I said I wo	uld help anyway I could. I'm sur	e she remembers it.	

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-114

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:			
what you believe the judge did the times, and places that will help	plain paper of the same size to file hat constitutes judicial misconduct. the commission understand your of relevant court documents. Please your records.	Be specific and list all of the concerns. Additional pages may	names, dates, y be attached	
FORMAL H	HEARING			
My main complaint is th	ne judge did not let me exp	lain how the man's lice	ense	
was revoked. I have be	een accused of lying to the	e and now it is	on record.	
Mr testifie	ed in his final rebuttal he do	es not have the mone	y to pay	
me. When this all starte	d all he had was his	S	ince then	
he has acquired	cars. One was a	he paid a neighbor	for.	
The never got reg	istered because he cold no	ot drive it. Having thes	e people	
testify under oath does i	not mean anything to them	. All they care about is	getting	
out of paying a debt. I liv	ve next door the man and	he just acquired anoth	ner car	
last week. He has been	n all wee	k fixing it up. It is prob	ably not in	
his name since it came	out in court that I could red	uest a debtor's exam.		
I'm and I'm	on oxygen. I'm barely get	ling by on		
If I had the money for a	lawyer I would have one b	y now.		