

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-116

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly stated he was a convicted felon in front of a jury.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 13, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on May 13, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2015-116****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

DURING THE COURSE OF MR. TRIAL  
STATED IN FRONT OF THE JURY THAT MR. IS A  
FELON AND HAS TWO PRIORS. JUDGE  
WAS ENGAGED IN CONDUCT THAT VIOLATED THE PROVISIONS  
OF ARTICLE 6.1. OF THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION AND THE  
CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF "AIDED AND ABETTED" IN  
RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS  
OF "RACKETEERING ACTIVITY" OF KIDNAPPING, BETTERY, EXTORT-  
ION, AND THE JUDGE VIOLATED A.R.S.  
§ 13-2314.04. (A)(B)(4); JUDGE WAS  
VIOLATED HIS OATH UNDER ARIZ. CONST. ART VI SECTION 26;  
AND ARTICLE VI OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
WHICH JUDGE IS BOUND THEREBY  
TO SUPPORT THE STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTION.  
AND FAILED BY "AIDED AND ABETTED" IN HELDING THE  
STATE OF ARIZONA ET. AL. ATTORNEY  
, OBTAIN AN ILLEGAL CONVICTION OF MR.  
BY WAY OF "MALICIOUS PROSECUTION", WITHOUT PROBABLE  
CAUSE, AND THE CRIME OF TREASON, THE ACTS OF 18 U.S.C.A. § 2381  
18 U.S.C.A. § 1962.(a)(d) PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES, AND 1963.(a)(1)  
(D)(3) CRIMINAL PENALTIES; MR. WAS SUBJECTED TO  
"DISCRIMINATION" BASE ON THE FACT MR. IS A FELON  
AND "DEPRIVED" AND "DENIED" WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF  
ARIZONA OF COUNTY THE EQUAL PROTECTION

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2015-116****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE****Name:****Judge's Name**

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

of the laws, which are guaranteed in the state and federal constitution. Judge "deprived" Mr. [redacted] of procedural due process, being deprived of the "right" to be tried by a "fair" and "impartial" Judge and Juries, which is judicial misconduct, the fourteenth amendment also states in part; which has a due process clause that provides, "Nor shall any state 'deprived' any person (Mr. [redacted]) of life, liberty or property, without 'due process of law'". Mr. [redacted] in trial Mr. [redacted], never took the stand therefore there was no need for the Juries to ever hear that Mr. [redacted] is a felon and has two priors, Judge [redacted], "deprived" and "denied" Mr. [redacted]. The rights under the law. In all Jury instructions, which was read to the Juries, on presumption of innocence, every defendant is presumed by "law" to be innocent. by the Judge. [redacted] stating in front of the Juries, that Mr. [redacted] is a felon and has two priors deprived and denied Mr. [redacted] that right to the presumption of innocence. which is a conflict of interest communicating improperly siding with the state of Arizona in a trial proceeding.

CC:

2015-116

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL WAS MAILED ON  
TO: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT 1501 W.  
WASHINGTON STREET SUITE 229 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85003