State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of	Comp	laint	15-122

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged the presiding judge of a municipal court was failing to properly supervise other judges and hearing officers in his court, contending that he was allowing them to ignore existing law relating to the assumption of personal jurisdiction over defendants.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him that Arizona law still recognizes a special appearance to contest jurisdiction, and the filing of a motion to dismiss that is devoted to the personal jurisdiction issue does not constitute an acknowledgment of the court's jurisdiction. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: August 17, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 17, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case. I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct. Signature:_____ INSTRUCTIONS Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records. See attached.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-122

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's	name:		Date:		
Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.						
This complaint relates to involves a matter in which the established law, so as to create a pattern	, the		judge of the has taken action in di law.	Court, and rect conflict with well-		
, in the officers (the signatures on the orders a rules and prior decisions. Specifically, the though specifically had not been conferred on the Court, wo	are illegible ne Court ap , a	In this case) have managed opears to halong with		flict with existing laws, of pleadings,		
Included in this pleading was a Court entered an order hearing, even though the record (attache and the Court had not e	ed) reflects	for lack of Osthat	argument citing statutes On about the	g explicitly challenging s, rules, and case law. n about , the Court set a and Defendant had not necessary to set a		
On about , I filed a , and Defendant hearing be reset to a hear . On abou and confirmed the hearing, de	ing, to dea t	I with the	. In the alternative, I threshold issue of whether			
The Court has a his Judge was issued a and subsequently ent to do so.	a public re	primand fo	or treating a phone call against the	. In from a when there was no		
I believe that conduct of the judges and/ briefly outlined above, violated the Code	- · · · · · · - ·		this Court, supervised b	y , as		
In particular, I believe that the judges a number of the Rules, and that the conductonduct here shows a pattern of ignor relevant rules which I perceived, below.	uct is such	that likely	brings the judiciary into			
RULE 1.2. Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary						
The Court's rulings that, in essence, fir thereby establish or waive			ling pleadings challengir staken, absurd, and are i			

the public's confidence in the judiciary.

RULE 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness. A judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.

The Court's rulings that the Court has established filed pleadings challenging appear to be a gross misapplication of the law, as well as create at least the appearance of unfairness and an absence of impartiality.

RULE 2.12. Supervisory Duties. (B) A judge with supervisory authority for the performance of other judges shall take reasonable measures to ensure that those judges properly discharge their judicial responsibilities, including the prompt disposition of matters before them.

The presiding judge, who is responsible for the performance of the Court, has failed to ensure that the Court's judges and/or hearing officers properly discharge their judicial responsibilities.

Please communicate with me regarding any action upon my complaint.