

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-127

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge should have disqualified herself from his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 28, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on May 28, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2015-127

In this formal complaint - according to rule 81. "Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct," also rule 2.11, Disqualification - briefly, I wish to bring to light the behavior of Judge, [REDACTED] Potential Candidate for disqualification.

According to rule 2.11(a) "A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned."

Throughout the [REDACTED] long "pilot," Considering Case number [REDACTED] there have indeed been several occasions where Judge, [REDACTED] has uttered words that raise suspicion of partiality.

One time in particular upon me firing the second court appointed lawyer Ms. [REDACTED], Judge [REDACTED] assures me that "I will not be allowed to fire the next court appointed lawyer," - which in fact I just filed [REDACTED] on - Mrs. [REDACTED] - almost as if Judge [REDACTED] anticipated upcoming flaws - for lack of a better word.

Or - for instance - recently, my "competence," suddenly being in question - when both lawyer, [REDACTED] and Judge [REDACTED], have documents proving that I am competent from [REDACTED] County [REDACTED] Courts. Judge [REDACTED] saying that "she doesn't know if I'm competent enough to represent myself in trial." Are there levels to being competent? If not - Judge, [REDACTED], is sure making it seem that way.

These are just a few of the issues that  
have taken place during my fight to prove my innocence.  
Thankyou for your time and Consideration. God bless!