State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-133			
Judge:			
Complainant:			

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and disregarded his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 1, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer **Executive Director**

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 1, 2015.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-133

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	_ Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain what you believe the judge did that contimes, and places that will help the coalong with copies (not originals) of release a copy of the complaint for your results.	nstitutes judicial misconduct. Be sp mmission understand your concer- evant court documents. Please con-	ns. Additional pages may be attached applete one side of the paper only, and
From or About The Mo	nth of	To NOW OF
, Judge	Has Been The	Judge of My case.
My Case # Is		He Has Been A
Bias Judge With A S	ecret Agenda Ag	ainst Me. It Has
Become Apparent 7		las Conspired With
The States Attorne	ey And Has Been	using Every Bit Of
	Me A Innocent	
Has completely Disc		
Violation, That Has		
Completely Disregal	ded The Controli	na Law's of The
United States of F		Has Allowed
	part of The Illegal	1 2 -1
	it, By Letting Then	
Them Violating My 4		
Motion To suppress,	Even The No off	icer came To court
	Conducting The Si	
1110 /10/11/11/11	My Motion To Su	
	I Believe Judge	Rules With
Carry Tules	77.00	uehold The Law, But
Corruption. Judge		
Re Hasilt. Unoge	IS Not Fit	Roland The
Bench of In office	10 hage L	oul chandacte T
States Judicial r	ELTORMONICE REVIE	The The
Will Like Inis Co	implant to be	To Remain on The Below The EW Standards. I Fiven To The The Following Issue's:
"Appropriate Auti	nority". Here Is	ne rollowing 1 ssues.

Comp

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-133

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge of	
(1) 01	At My suppression Hearing, I Argued That
No officer Had	Admitted to conducting A Search on My
vehicle. The se	arch Was conducted on My Vehicle Without
	rent. Three Detective's Were Present At
The suppressi	on Hearing To Explain The Reason's For
The search.	All Three Detectives Testified They Did Not
Conduct The	Search on My Vehicle, When I amestioned
Detective	The Thired And Last Detective
To Testifu.	Who Also Testified He Did Not conduct
The Search	on My Vehicle, He Later committed
Periury By S	
7011-1111 000	"I Than Pointed out
Detective	perjured Testimoney To Judge
Judge	Than committed Perjury Himself By
Stating To His	s Ruling, The Police Department
	Valid Search on My Vehicle, This Is
	Empossible Fact Being All Three Detectives
At The Hear's	ng Admitted They Did Not Conduct The
Space and	My Vehicle. Judge Violated Rule 1.2
Search on Cons	HEREO TO The Tulicianui RINIE 22 I MOORTIGIITU
And Friends	idence In the Judiciary; Rule 2.2 Impartiality le 2.3 Bias, Prejudice, And Harassment (A), (B)(C);
Allo FAITTIESS, NU	and Taffuences on Tulicial Confust (A)
Nule ait Exte	chal Influences on Judicial Conduct (A).
Kule a.s comp	petence, Diligence, And copperation (A);

Complaint Against A Judge
Name:

Judge's Name:

Rule 2.11 Disqualification (A).

The Judge Violated These Rule's under The (Code of Judicial Conduct (Rule 81)).

Judge Failed To Promote Confidence In The Judiciary, Based on The Judge Conduct I Have so Far Lost Confidence In The Independence, Integrity, And Impartiality of The Judiciary. Due To The outcome of The suppression Hearing It Is obvious The Judge Did Not Rule Impartially And With Fairness. Judge

Failed To uphold And Apply The Law, It Is Also obvious Judge Is Bias And Prejudice Against Me. Judge Has Been Influence By The

States Attorney
Criticism. I Believe Judge
Violated The Above

Code's By Denying My Motion to suppress, Even After No Officer came To Court And Admitted To

Have conducted The search on My Vehicle. All

officer's Stated They Did Not Conduct The search on My Vehicle. Although Officer Stated

He Did Not Conduct The Search, He Later claimed He

Imagine He I-rabed or Item's, At That Time

Officer Committed Perjury, I Pointed This
Out To Judge , But He Ignored Me, And Than
Committed Perjury Himself, By Stating
Police Conducted A Valid Search. This Is False

Fact Being No officer Admitted To Have Conducted

The search of My vehicle,

Complaint Against A Judge
Name:

(a) On

I Filed A Motion To Change
Counsel Which was Denied By Judge
I Again PE:5 Filed A Motion To change counsel on That Motion was Also. I was Than Being Represented

By I Attempeted To Remove,

Mr. Due To The Fact He Said He Could Not Win My Case. When I Tryed To Remove Mr. . I Explained to Judge How He Tryed to Force Me Into signing A Plea Deal, Even After Tellina Me He Knew Nothing About My Case. After Judge Denied My Motion To Change Counsel, I Made A call To Mr. He Yelled At Me Saying I Tryed To Throw Him under The Bus And If I Do That Again He was ITOING TO ITET OFF MY CASE His Self. Mr. Was very Angry With Me And Cursed Alot. I Than Filed Another motion To Change Counsel Which once Again Was Denied, I Explained To Judge How He cursed Me out. Mr. Said I was Telling A Bold Face Lie. Judge Denied The Motion. I Was Pro-Per With Mr. 00 As My Advisory Counsel. on I To conduct A Interview With States Witness Present was Me; Mr. States Attorney And His Attorney During The Interview

PG:6 Name:

Mr. Alledged To Have Witnessed Me Make

A Threatening Gesture To

Witness For The State. Mr. Was The sole Witness To This Alledged Event. This Is strange Fact Being Their Was People In The Room
And Mr. Was The only Person Who said He
Witnessed Me Make A Threatening I sesture. Even
Mr. Stated He Did Not see Anything.
I Remined Judge About Me And
Mr. Bad Blood He once Again Ignored Me, Saying He Would Leave It To The Trial Judge To Determine If The Event could Be used At Trial, And If Mr. Could Become A Material Witness Against Me. Problem Is The Trial Judge Will Not Personelly Know About Me And Mri. Bad Past. I

Feel Judge should Have Stepped In

And Stopped This, But once Again He Did Not

He Completly Ignored Me. This show's

Judge Is Bias And Prejudice Against Me.

He Did Not Rule Impartially And With Fairness

⁽³⁾ On I Filed A Motion To Admit Extrinsic Evidence of A Prior Inconsistent Statement Pursuant To Rule 6/3 (B) Arizona Rules of Evidence. The States Witness

Document's Excluding Me From This case. Made

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.