## State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 15-153

Judge:

Complainant:

### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 9, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on July 9, 2015.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-153

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that constitutiones, and places that will help the commis	of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words the judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, sion understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and
FOR THE CLASMANT	
IS OF HE	
	OF THE AKTIONA CONSTITUTION
AND General sequence	of the CASE EVENTS)
	the claim constitution Rights
contresent Humself w	
Supervene Indichment	wance of brandsupour
Chargine rules of	evidence
Charleto 6 Junis 1515100	v
<u></u>	
	A A