

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-155

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 9, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on July 9, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

Previous to

1. Judge refused to remand the case back to the for
the for the sole reason, as stated in open court by when
referring to prosecutor lies to the

See: Motions to Remand to

See also: transcripts of hearing

for

2. unlawfully deprived of his right to represent himself even
after he was deemed competent in a Rule II proceeding.

threatened with false imprisonment by ordering him to
accept a public defender or be wrongfully imprisoned for contempt of court for
attempting to proceed

statement [paraphrased]:

egregious acts and threats forced to file a 42 USC § 1983
suit just to maintain his substantive right to represent himself. *See:*

et al.,

Judge acts were obviously as evidenced
by the fact he recused himself immediately after the 42 USC § 1983 suit. For him
to repeatedly threaten and deprive him of his constitutional rights and
simultaneously allow the State to prosecute based on a
indictment obtained through a prosecutor's obvious lies is the epitome of
and evidences indisputably Judge court is nothing more
than a modern day