## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

**Disposition of Complaint 15-159** 

Judge:

Complainant:

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to respond to his letters and/or filings and committed legal malpractice.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 19, 2015

# FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 19, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

# 2015-159

·				
From:				
Sent:				
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct				
Cc:				
Subject: Complaint of Judical Misconduct	against Judge			
Case #				
TO: ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL C	ONDUCT			
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct against Judge	•	Judge,	Arizona	
Case #1				
TO: ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CO	ONDUCT			
COMPLAINT of Judicial Misconduct against Jud	dge	Court Judge,	Arizona	
(1) Judge did not reply to my letter of re Summons within the time limit which con had to endure fraud and malpractice with a barr (2) Judge ignored my Complaint of Perj	nstitutes the Defendant forfeiting the rage of harassing improper motions	e case and the Plainti	efendant did not reply to my ff wins. After which the Plaintiff a lawyer	
(3) Complaint of dated Case when I requested it on	because Judge	never properly replied to my request to Settle my		
(4) Complaint of Legal Malpractice dated the Defendants Violation of the Plaintiff's Const	because Judge itutional Right to Free Speech	ignore my complaint of Perjury and condones		
(5) Motion to Keep Complaint of P removed from the case documents because	erjury because Defendant's lawyer, does not like being acc olates my Constitutional right to Fre	cused of committing P		
Constitutional Rights to free speech.				
Note 1: Judge is not a		prodict when a person	a will die, therefore the Statuate	

Note 2: There is no Statuate of Limitations on my case because it is impossible to predict when a person will die, therefore the Statuate of Frauds takes precedence

Attached Documents:

Signed by Plaintiff,