State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-160

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case and was biased against the defendant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 9, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 9, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

My complaint against Judge I for	is as follows. On in his case.	filed a
Only after I filed a complaint was set for	with a seperate Judge on That date was	a hearing date and a subsiquent hearing on
This Judge allowed This Judge allowed as to his testimony of who se responded.	for disclosure to go u come into court room prioursly	
During the discovered that date claimed and whi hearing.	and the subsiquent played for the Judge wa ich was supported by	it was is not in fact created on the that came to the
	according to garding the video. That state	ne video was created. It was report did not hear from ment is her report is ponse to all law enforcement
(

-sija

This Judge has allowed in county jail without authorizing his any mental health evaluation in order to assist with his preparation for trail.

This judge has allowed this with hold evidence, including the names of list from the defense.

have set procedures that should have been followed when filed and because this judge has allowed the ignore it by allowing him to about his diligent response, a story that was completely contradicted by that showed up in court.

Please see attached letter for more information.

TO 60 11 JUDGE MLOWED A UNANWSED FOR AN EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME TU BE "JUDGE ALLOWED A DISMISSED WITH OUT ASKING THE DEFENDANT WHO HED IT !! In. 11 Judge HAS ALLOWED JAIL FOR WITH OUT SEEKING APPROVAL FROM A HIGHGR COURT / JUDGE 15 BIASED AGAINST PRIGH COMPLAINTS IN THIS CASE. FILED AGAINST HER