State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-164

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him for having red hair and not being an attorney.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 15, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 15, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

1 2 3 4	1	2015-164
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In The Court o In The State O	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, V.	<u>Case No.</u>
20 21 22 23 24	Defendant,	Complaint of Judicial Misconduct
25	Complaint of Judicial Misconduct in the Court of	
26	<u>Arizona against</u>	
27	This is formal complaint of judicial misconduct against Ju	
28		
29	me, the plaintiff in this action, for	and not being an attorney
30	because of my deprivation that I am trying to remedy. This case affects the federal district judge	
31 32	and was brought before the state court under federal law because of the case against I by which this case was very closely related, and the extreme judicial misconduct that	
54	1	

33 took place against me in the where by falsely telling me that despite the facts that 34 made that granted the case under Article III of the United States Constitution that the case must be 35 heard in the Court such an order and recommendation was made by the 36 Judge in case numbe along with every other case that took 37 place in the that was dismissed with prejudice because the plaintiff, or myself, was 38 a protected citizen with that made me unique and special based on the fact that even a 39 summons was refused after one of the defendants and old client, 40 made many racist comments that damaged my community standing one of which being 41 that after the shooting that was protected by the laws of 42 the United States. This order in this case was based on a false jurisdiction by is out of 43 line, and should be transferred to the an oral argument should at least have been 44 scheduled over the jurisdiction. Where by I was deprived of my due process rights so secured by the 45 and amendments to the Constitution of the United States, especially the this being in the State 46 Court as it is right now. I am going to file a motion to move the case to the 47 tomorrow, but the order gave me today tells me that, he is really feeling like making my 48 life more miserable than it already is and forcing me to I cannot afford because of the deprivation I have been forced to suffer from who let all of these idiots out of control and 49 50 and do whatever they want to me. Please review each document in case number court case that it is related to which you 51 and every 52 can find just by searching my as I am the only on 53

54

2

2

