State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-168
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge engaged in improper ex parte communications.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 22, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 22, 2015.

Complaint Against an Arizona Judge

Judge's Name:						
Judge's Court:						
Cause of Action and Caption:						
	named my		and me	e to serve as		
for my	•	on or abou	ıt	•		
Background titled	Facts: Please about n		ached	factual account		
summarize the acco	ount, I carelessl	y permitted		to wander away from me on		
			barring	me from taking away		
from	, his		in	. During a family		
discussion at or		abrup	tly term	ninated the meeting after		
about a	nd immediately	affirmed he	er order	, leaving me virtually		
sputtering, with ma	ny questions th	at she angri	ly refus	sed to address. Therefore, on		
I prepar	red a letter to po	ose the same	e questi	ons. From the internet I		
	worked with			, whose address		
was listed at	_	_		Accordingly, at about		
I drove out to s	lip my envelope	e under her	office d	oor. I arrived and learned,		
much to my surpris				ntly also		
address. Therefore		lope	• •	of a		
	nich was ab	_	from	and about		
_	The following			ned ·		
				of the letter to constitute		
	ed for her life.	,	•			
I learned on		tha	nt	met with		
(presumably	within	ÇII C		nformed him that I was		
	that she feared	for her life	,			
circumstances,	illat Sile Teared	authoriz		to ignore my letter of		
on cambanious,				<i>GJ</i>		

make in an attempt to commun, as well as several	t I may send, in addition to any telephone calls I may nicate with her. Enclosed is a copy of my letter of thereafter: a) , b) 8 , and c) my dismay that she was dodging my efforts to er order.			
I prepared my letter of no statute or rule authorized	to after speaking with , who confirmed my suspicion that to issue an order regarding the conduct of a			
Ultimately, on , I filed a complaint against with the , convinced that her absolute refusal to respond to my questions (including my request that she send me a copy of her order) constituted a breach of her duty to be honest pursuant to the				
Legal Basis: It appears that exparte, face-to-face conversation with constitutes a violation of Section 29(A) of the Arizona Code for Judicial Conduct. The willingness to address this matter exparte reflects a breach of his duty of impartiality on several levels: a) That he would accept one good-faith action on my part to constitute the crime of stalking; b) that he would authorize to flout her duty of reasonable care/honesty; c) that he refused to invite me to testify in order for him to obtain a robust grasp of the facts, and; and d) that he would fail to have (or someone on her behalf) inform either that she intended to decline to communicate with me. I am preparing to challenge the legal basis of order, and have no doubt that attitude toward me, , has been irredeemably prejudiced. Therefore, I welcome this Commission's immediate assistance in this matter.				
	Date			