State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-170

Complainant:

Judge:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge should have declared a mistrial or later set aside his conviction based on a change in the law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 15, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 15, 2015.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:	 	
Date:		
	INSTRUCTIONS	

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

The Following Fa	acts are Indisputable:			
1) was	enacted on			
2) My trial started	d in.			
3) was oretroactive.	enacted on	causing	to become	
4) I was sentence retroactive	ed on	days after	became	
5) I was sentence	ed by Judge	under abrogated la	w	
6) was	s obligated to declare a and he did no	mistrial immediately afte ot.	r was enacted	
7) On	the,	confirmted		
8) From	discus	sed and ruled on		
issues in State v. ignorant to the la		** *	annot claim he was	
9) My petition for Post Conviction Relief went before and he ordered an on an issue in the thus had an opportunity once again to				
correct issue.			,	
10) On was enacted with a retroactivity clause, applicable to any case that was not adjudicated before				
11) is retroactively applicable to my trial nunc pro tunc.				

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct,

Signature:	
Date:	

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

The Following Facts are In	disputable:				
	•				
1) was enacted o	n				
2) My trial started in	2) My trial started in				
3) was enacted o retroactive.	n	causing	to become		
4) I was sentenced on retroactive		days aft	ter became		
5) I was sentenced by Jude	5) I was sentenced by Judge under abrogated law				
	was obligated to declare a mistrial immediately after was enacted and he did not.				
7) On the	e Arizona	Court confirmt	ed		
8) From to issues in ignorant to the law change		ed and ruled on Therefore	cannot claim he was		
9) My petition for Relief went before and he ordered an Evidentiary Hearing on an issue in the thus had an opportunity once again to correct issue.					
10) On was enacted with a retroactivity clause, applicable to any case that was not adjudicated before					
11) is retroactively applicable to my trial					

