State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-178
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a hearing before a small claims hearing officer was unfair.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the hearing officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 22, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer **Executive Director**

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the hearing officer on July 22, 2015.

in the

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 w. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST

and that in

Court

Court, Case

I contracted with because their ad states, to rid my property of it's I called them to tell them that THEY REAPPEARED IN THE SAME LOCATION IN WHICH I ORIGINALLY DISCOVERED WHICH INDICATES THEIR TREATMENT DID NOT GET RID OF The person on the phone stated I stated that no was needed and if they had I would have one mentioned a said goodbye. I bought some and my property, as I had previously for and I discovered that did not treat my home properly. I. On Plaintiff, brought suit against Defendant, I went to court to recover the funds due to me and to obtain justice. Iam residing in the home I My profession was as a salesman selling to the purchased in the the and in the valley for years. I court to illustrate that went to had my home to the standards of the industry. I had with me their for treatment plan, the solution of chemical they applied, of the areas to be and my home for My opening statement: I without having while all my neighbors Then, the stores no longer could that did the job. I found of an in the unoccupied bedroom and in the and of the garage in I stated that I had not been in the bedroom for about

I noticed that

same locations that I had found

I checked the garage and

I then took the plan and to Mr. area and placed the plan in front of him on the desk. I started to show the to him but he took them out of my hand. I took them back from him and started to demonstrate how the to the plan. But, he showed no interest, so I returned to my seat. Being a successful salesman I am able to recognize when someone is being receptive to what I am saying.

He asked the representative what he had to say, and he said that they used the available on my job. After research I found out that they use a very and there is available from the same manufacturer that is I provided a copy of the article to Mr. but he showed no interest. When the stated I didn't buy a service contract, I showed a copy of a bill, paid by with the business card attached. Once again, Mr. showed no interest.

stated he that he would now decide the case. He concluded he Mr. could not believe my claim based on his opinion that he did not believe that I had not been in the for a I was there to show him that did not perform to my property I brought proof, but he conclude that I lied about the for therefore therefore he is not interested in my case. After my opening did not listen to anything I had to say. This was not statement, I feel Mr. a fair hearing and I feel I should have the opportunity to present my case to a more open minded I believe Mr. should have been judging the evidence I presented instead of judging me, the plaintiff,

I am thanking you in advance for your attention to this matter and hope that I have another opportunity to obtain justice from a new hearing.