State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

\mathbf{D}	isposition	of	Compl	laint	15-197
_	10001011	-	0 0 10 -		

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge consulted with attorneys on their case about being an expert prior to being assigned the case, engaged in improper ex parte communications, was prejudiced against them, and made numerous improper rulings in a probate case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Commission members George Foster, Anna Mary Glaab, and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the judge on February 5, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

to a case.
I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.
Signature:
Date:
INSTRUCTIONS
Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.
See attached documents.

COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

My name is against Judge	I, along with my family, am and a demand for his immediat based on ARS § 12-409 (B) for REMOVAL OF	e removal from case
possibly being	sclose that he had been having conversations al an expert witness, with eys, "prior" to coming on this case as the judge. discussed at length my case and det invoices)	our should never have come
2. Extremely u	methical behavior, bias and prejudice.	
was found to b the court. could attempt	arte communications with court appointed e competent by conspired with and allowed to "find a Dr. who would find me incompetent to ustify appointing wh	J
4. has re	efused to comply with the laws and state statute	es.
5. has re	efused to follow the	that Arizona has adopted.
	efused to give me due process of a iling of two court appointed reports and th	ne investigators report.
competent and Judg	rdered me and my attorneys not to disclose rep I has chastised my attorney, se order to disclose the results of my e and capable of handling my finances.	, for following the orders of
8. is ille he has known appointed	egally and unethically holding me a hostage and for almost that I have been found to be	
9. has f	ailed to follow orders of previous judges.	
	allowed harassment and rude behavior towards court. He has participated in insulting me in co	
11. has:	failed to give hearings or time to respond to fili	ngs.

12.	has had ex-parte commun	ications with the	petitioner's attorneys.	
13. the from me.	has taken jurisdiction of m when he has r		order to conspire to ke	ep my money
14. and my fa kind.	is allowing the invasion of amily's financial information			
right to d	is protecting his buddy iscovery in my case in liscovery in	to remove n	by not a ny trustees but allowin	illowing me my g
r ei	fused to allow my and quashed the s		discovery for my ca discovery. The subpos	
16. my medic	is violating Federal HIPPA al records when he knows and given to ot		ent; those medical reco	
extremely	allowed This resulted I am trying to get information was used by and their attorneys used y damaging due to the fact to	d in off my and ed the privileged i that the a	legal file from a forme sending the to their attorneys. The in their filings in nformation in the nd their attorneys con	file to the attorney client and the court. This was
unethical	refused to disqualify and criminal actions again his has cost me	st me and my fam	•	or their I and abet their
-	"stayed" my case due to th n immediate hearing and m	•	and further de	enied me my
19. justificati	has behaved viciously aga on.	inst me and my fa	mily with no explanati	on or
	knows that the till directing the attorneys, i olvement with pa		l were paying em to constantly lie to	the court about
21. by law sh	knew that		documents from a	

those documents in court making them public record, to harm did not order the documents to be properly sealed until even though we brought it up several times. He allowed the documents that should have been sealed in to remain public record causing me unrepairable harm both emotionally and financially.
22. ruled that that and petitioners signed with the and their attorneys did not pertain to "documents" that had been sharing with the parties against court orders in both states. Judge had ruled that there was no between the parties and he ordered them to turn over all shared documents and emails.
23. refused to allow the to withdraw from the case when filed a Motion to Withdraw on and in another filing. has stated to on the record several times that he shouldn't be on the case and that he has not found any financial abuse when he went through all of my financial documents and my financial documents.
24. has known from the beginning when he came on the case that were conspiring with my and their attorneys and he did nothing to stop the abuse of my frivolous lawsuits against me or that is being used for the
25. was noticed that my sister who and signed a in which she agreed NOT to sue, violated the when she joined the case. He did nothing to stop the violations or damage when asked to do so.
26. was noticed by that my had testified in court in that she was lied to by about what the case is about and that she would never agree to take my away from me. He did nothing to stop the abuse and damage to me or my estate.
TOOK OATHES TO PROTECT, HE HAS NOT DONE SO.
who were hired in by in who were using my and my without her knowledge or permission while she was in
court case was filed by and also naming my and other . The are asking the filed

what they knew were false allegations and lies against evidence to the court.

and presented no

To date there has been no evidence presented to the court; even after all of the "fishing" that were allowed to do

heard our who had arrested one of our attorney's, for refusing to disclose attorney client privilege. stated that he was going to recuse and that he would like to take over the case himself. He took over the case. He did not disclose to us that he had been having prior communications and emails with regarding our case and the possibility of being an expert witness on our case with regard to the recusal of We had hired as consultants. There are emails verifying that there were communications between on several occasions. shows the communications and emails that were billed.

The bill from .

came into court with the day of the recusal hearing. He was:

said that , that she and her have known for over and that he would failed to disclose that they had several before he heard the recusal and then took over our conversations with should never have come on this case and should have disclosed that he had been having communications with about being a on an issue in our case.

was on our case, he issued a Minute Entry stating that he after everything that had done! We were in disbelief. Right off the bat, he showed bias and prejudice and a lack of respect for the laws that he had

also states in his order that he is only on this case

Our attorneys had to file. to get relief from the damage that had done and We The appeals court ruled What was the point of the recusal if was going to the violations that had committed? more money to right his wrong.

I was evaluated by court appointed

The state statute states that the report "shall" be filed with the court by after the

ordered that no one could contact without a court order from the court yet he allowed to contact and send him tainting documents full of lies and did not find them in contempt or sanction them for violating his court order.
I requested a copy of the was told by to file a letter with the court resigning AFTER he had already stated in his order that would not have to file the report with the court but it was already filed. (This is in the record) was allowed to resign. The report was not given to any of my attorneys or to me. refused to allow me or my attorney a copy of the report. This was a blatant cover up of the evidence that showed that I had been found to be competent.
Ex parte communications went between "personal" email. There are several emails back and forth between them. We have copies of some of the emails. (See attachments)
Evidenced by emails, he started trying to find another to again to help him find one. told that a who would do that would be hard to find. This was NOT what the statutes allow when was "fishing" for anther who would give him the report HE wanted in order to justify All of my The only communications were a violation of the statute and were done to harm me.
denied me a copy of the report and ordered not to release a copy
As a result of what did with , not only did he violate Canon 2 of the Judicial Code, but the entire proceeding has been ripped of any legitimacy. There is a dark cloud hanging over every aspect of the proceeding. Indeed, now that decision immediately granting request to resign (without our impute) is looked at in retrospect, even that decision becomes suspectQuery? Were there ex parte communications between leading up to
JUDGES CAN'T HAVE SECRET COMMUNICATIONS WITH EXPERTS AND GET THERE OWN SUBSTANTATIVE MATERIAL ON THE ULTIMATE ISSUE IN THE CASE. This is especially true when the Judge in question permitted the expert in question to withdraw over one of the parties' objection, but the expert is still giving the court secret expert advice! If this conduct is found to be permissible, then the Judicial Canons of Ethics will themselves become a nullity!
conspired with to try to find which is outside the required scope per the statutes.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.