State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-198

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a family law case, was prejudiced against him, engaged in improper ex parte communications, failed to pay attention during court proceedings, failed to timely act on pleadings before the court, and made offensive statements during a hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 10, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 10, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

Please see attached 1

"Having a fair, unbiased system for resolving disputes is essential to stability in our society and it is what decent people strive for, regardless of our political learning"

First off I would like to say that I realize the functions of this committee are not one that one could consider to be an easy duty. I would also like to also state for not one moment that I believe being a Judge is an easy task. What I want to touch upon are the behaviors of Judge that for no uncertain terms "

On I found out that my was residing with a registered sex offender. Judge

not only did not show patience but totally disregarding my motion for her own interest.(Please see exhibit A).

Exhibit A is a Motion for PRE-DECREE TEMPORARY ORDERS WITHOUT NOTICE FOR LEGAL DECISION MAKING AND PHYSICAL CUSTODY. This was stamped

Please move to page 5. Under the section I MAKE THE FOLLOWING REQUEST TO THE COURT:

1. For a "Temporary Order Without Notice"

I checked or placed an "X" next to Award me Temporary Legal Decision Making and Physical Custody of the minor Child(ren) until a full court hearing.

Judge in her haste to get this case taken care of totally disregarded the motion that was filed please review audio marked **exhibit B.** She clearly had her own agenda regardless of the law and the facts.

19

If look at exhibit C this shows a bit of manipulation of the facts again.

I would like to also bring to the attention (please review attached audio) Please acknowledge the tone of Judge during these proceedings. Judge was observed stating " " Petitioner was not there to inforce any restriction- petitioner was there to get his out of this environment. Judge during this session even with knowledge that a sex offender was present- even went to great lengths to further violate petitioners rights by protecting the address of (please see exhibit D)

(Author would like to note that at this time and no time after is there any order preventing Petitioner from having access to child nor address. Judge is clearly showing bias and prejudice at his point). (The author would like to add it is clear that Judge had her mind made up prior to hearing even one word of evidence. This can be observed by how she missed very valuable cues that Petitioner was mentioning and the inaccuracies of the Respondent.)

Author would like to also clearly add this " " and solely about behavior of

Judge

Judge (; mind is made up-child belongs with " " this has been her stance from day 1 contrary of the facts and the law. I would like to bring one important issue to mind- again this not about the ruling. A Judge is asked to be open minded and Objective.

Please look see P.6 or parenting conference part D. (Exhibit C)

Author must ask why was there a court date for

The author can answer this for the committee. Judge doesn't care about fact nor law she

simply cares about her views and opinions – that is all that matters! Petitioner has made Judge aware of . Sex offender on at least different occasions.

Please review exhibit E

****Author would like to be clear this is not about the outcome-notice Judge typing in the background and not paying attention.

Judge again on denied Petitioner his rights and showed bias. Please review
Exhibit F audio files from

Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		

1

е. — ¹

Judge		
Judge		

Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
Judge		
JUNEC		

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.