#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-210

Judge:

Complainant:

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him and improperly gave legal advice to the opposing party.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 10, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 10, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

# 2015-210

Disciplinary Judge or Complaint Division

I am submitting evedince of corruption & misconduct & possible criminal wrongding by \* possible . They filed . om a request Judge an order on I filed . and stated In it I had filed it . When it was impossible for me or the lawyer to have filed it since I gave him permission to file stuff on my behalf after The notpak prior. 7 Donot lie. also I never filed such request on in in which I was unemployed Af the fime. Ean you please Investigate and bring Disciplingery action on who 13 responsible for this miscondraf or criminal wrongdoing Sincorks

## 2015-210

or his assistant

State bar No.

committed an act of perjury declaring that I make p/h when I do not, I only make and there is a document in the court Case No. stating that is so. At first they said they got a copy of my paystub through my company then that they came to the conclusion when because of my yearly income so far. They signed having read the document and declared under the penalty of perjury that it was true and correct to the best of their knowledge. I believe they show be given at least a warning for this because they in a public position of trust and should not take things like this lightly. The Public assumes they are trustworthy and so they should not lose the community's trust by committing acts of perjury however lightly. In a court of law it does not count when a person says they think it is true.

committed perjury when she notarized some • Guardianship documents with false statements, on the day her commission had .She also needs to be expired. The case NO. reprimanded for Intentionally sending my case to other courts periodically and wasting my time and Judge time and another Judges precious and valuable time on different occasions In those two Instances the Respectable judges had to order My case be sent to the court. Judge had to cancel some of her regularly scheduled court cases to hear my emergency hearing on a case. The case NO. is relating to my case. They are entrusted with a public position and should do it with respect towards the people/community they serve. Not because I have a decrepit appearance should she use prejudice towards the people she serves. I don't even have a criminal background.

• Attorney should be disbarred from her post for flagrantly committing misconduct in the Judges presence knowing well that prior to the initial trial she spoke with my lawyer from

and they spoke about my case in which she deviously tricked into speaking about it before trial. had no knowledge that she was on 1, of the two opposing parties' lawyer. As a matter of fact she left laughing at when she told him she was with the opposing party. I had full legal custody of my and lost it on . when She tricked my lawyer. During this court session The Honorable judge also was advising one of the opposing parties on how to take custody away from me and my in the middle of the court session he made everything stop to advise the opposing party on the case and even told them what law be

case and even told them what law books and codes they were to use and under which court to file them in. I know it is very improper behavior of the lawyer let alone the judge. He is also starting to be biased and show prejudice dice in my case not enforcing ordered he signed in court documents and also trying to get an OP issued against from that expired in . In which the time I was in Judge courtroom ۰, she ordered the , he mv petition then so on , I had to go see him again and the sent me to a commissioner Judge on purpose and so he had to order my hearing to see judge which by then I almost lost my job for him not enforcing his orders lost my promotion and that came with my promotion. He allowed evidence in the court that I told him are exaggerated truths and half lies from texts messages and of social media. He wanted me to answer under oath to a Lie, which I refused to and he called the bailiff to arrest me and then threatened to not let me walk out on bail for some insignificant stuff attorney wanted me to answer on the bench. It should become rule of law that private messages from social media and texts are not acceptable in a court room as evidence when it is clear that the people texting are doing it to instigate anger and (excuse the expression) to each other.

B. Also after the trial on she blatantly, in front of the judges chamber, while still in the court room she would whisper to my lawyer when we were at the other table when the session was done told my lawyer that she was going to make the changes she wanted to some amendments the judge had ordered My lawyer to do, she even tried to put on

had ordered My lawyer to do, she even tried to put on an order so I would accidently violate an order when I went to pick so he could go with me to

To top it all off she was also receiving emails from my lawyer to an inbox which is also business emails it implies that she was also working fo0r at the time or had previously done so. It is a reason to have her disbarred from Arizona.

My lawyer resigned from my case this year after he had knowledge has a new custody battle that I was never served on or told about. I found it on the courts computer records but it was made into a "not available for the public" by court clerk

the moment I found it on the courts computer records, I was not able to get the court case number. And as for now no court case or exists about it and the public officials in the Yuma county courthouse should be more closely monitored because they are doing acts of corruption and we should not allow America to become a second world country.