

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-235

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace made improper rulings in a civil traffic matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 30, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 30, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I went to court in _____ on _____
 for my ticket. The complaint I have is Section _____
 . After the court case, I looked this up,
 its for no insurance on Arizona website, in
 which I had insurance for and showed to the officer
 at the time, apparantly he still wrote me up for it.
 So I wrote to the court (see copies) about the
 situation. They still ruled against me. If you look
 at letter date _____ and post mark date on en-
 velope _____ why the difference? Plus I had
 to pay _____ to _____ (surcharge) for what I shouldn't
 had to since I went to insurance company and had
 them fax _____ about insurance issue from before.