State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-252

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge and a superior court commissioner violated a defendant's constitutional rights in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to both judicial officers, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 14, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and to the judicial officers on October 14, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

, he was set for Preliminary hearing Sn as far as we know in Building Then we were told the case was Vacated due to UNKNOWN reasons. the same thing happened on Vacated. So then we found out it went to the on both of those days and was dismissed. Then The courts set a New Court day which the courts said was for arraignment. The Judge Im not Sure what her name 15, I called and was told but she is not the one that was in court that morning was advised of his eights, but 1n was not appointed counsel, to advise and tell/explain the process or what he was signing. Then we were told it would take before he would get a public defender, which I know not to be true

according to the Law. This is what is called Misconduct They have Violated So many of his Constitutional Rights, Unreasonable Search and Seizure deprivation of life, Liberty, or property withatt due praces the right to an attorney, the right to a speedy trial, the rightto confront/examine witnesses, The right to compel WITNESSES IN his Favor, His Sth Amend, Prohibits excessive bail. To our understanding of the Law was entitled to a bail review after his arraignment. The Judge him paperwork Stating he could be released monitor, but his Lawyer/ public defender on an has to put in the motion, How is that possible IP he dont have one. The was told

is his public defender, He Claims that they put in a request for discovery, but the state has Not Sent over what they need so he Just sitting there, while they create Evidence we assume.

has a Pretrial Hearing Set for We know and understand that there is a process, but a false Arrest, No evidence, sounds Innocent to me. Misconduct is any kind of bad, uneth ical, or illegal activity. In Law misconduct is wrongful, improper or unlawful conduct motivated by Premeditated or intentional Prosecutorial . Misconduct, when a conviction is obtained by the Presentation of testimony Known to the prosecuting authorities to have been PerJured, due process 15 Violated. The courts have Violated through deliberate deception. The police racially profiled him and his friends has being as but charged them for lobberry + assault and Claimed they Stole their own property. constitutional lights are expressly stipulated and weitten in a consolidated national constitution which is law of the land, meaning that any other laws which are in contradiction with It are considered unconstitutional and thus Regarded invalid.