## State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-254				
Judge:					
Complainant:					

### ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace ignored evidence, was not prepared for court, and made improper rulings in a protective order proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 18, 2015.

Name:	
Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip Code:	
Phone:	

Judge's Name:

Judge's Court:

Did You Have a Case before This Judge? No

If Yes, Is The Case Still Pending? N/A

Case Name and Number: N/A
Name of Your Attorney, If Any: N/A

Names of Witnesses Who Observed the Judge's Conduct: 2 County Attorney and one other who was a witness in the court room.

### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 1

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case. I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 1:

On I had appealed an injunction of harassment hearing in which Mr.

had filed against me in the Courts. I myself have an injunction against Mr. (see
Prior to this case he had been arrested for violating my injunction numerous times (see
Booking Number: and given a dollar Cash or secured bond, and he was

indicted on a the day before the court hearing for aggravated harassment in my case based on the evidence gather by Detective of the

Our court hearing was due to start at 
It started around 
as the judges are returning

#### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 2:

seemed to be in a very irritable mood as she was snapping at both myself and from lunch. Judge had to be told numerous times that his info was not applicable to the case and was asked numerous times how I myself was harassing him. I was asked why I was not wanting the order to remain in effect against me. I told the judge that it is impossible for me to contact this man as he is blocked on all of my social media pages and that it is in fact Mr. who is harassing and stalking me. Mr. recently sought me out on and followed me there on I held up the screen shots which she was informed that the police already had copies of and is being used in the criminal case against Mr. yet the judge did not want to look at them. In fact she told me that it only proved my injunction against him.

# According to Arizona Law:

"An injunction against harassment prohibits a person from harassing, annoying or alarming another person. An injunction can be filed against neighbors, friends or strangers, as long as you have their name. One act of harassment will not be enough to get an order. There must be a series or pattern of acts before a judge will consider granting the order. Also, you must tell the person that you are going to apply for an order, or give the judge a good reason why you have not told the person. The person filing the injunction is called the plaintiff. The person against whom the injunction is filed is called the defendant."

https://www.tucsonaz.gov/courts/how-file-injunctions-against-harassment

G. The court shall not grant a mutual injunction against harassment. If opposing parties separately file verified petitions for an injunction against harassment, the courts after consultation between the judicial officers involved may consolidate the petitions of the opposing parties for hearing. This does not prohibit a court from issuing cross injunctions against harassment. http://www.azleg.state.az.us/ars/12/01809.htm

In fact in order to obtain an injunction the person requesting the order must provide:

- Bring picture ID (if available).
- Your address information (house, work, schools, & other locations you wish to have
- Defendant name, address, date of birth, social security number (if known), description & other useful information if known.
- Dates the incidents occurred and case numbers if applicable.
- If adding minor children, please provide their date of birth & social security numbers if known.
- Expect to be at the Court house for at least 2 hours.
- No Children allowed in court room.

## http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/superiorcourt/protectiveordercenter/iah.asp

### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 3:

Even in the lega	al paperwork Mr.	lied to ga	lied to gain his petition and also in the appeal stating that he				
did not know my address. Mr.		posted on	his	publically on	, a <sub>l</sub>	, a police	
report document which was illegally given to him by the			Department	Section that			
included	, , and the	]	, and	of my	of my . I filed a		
report on	(see Case Num	ber:	with Officer	num	number and		
also a complaint with the Police Department's			on	They hav	They have found the		
person/woman	who gave out the inf	ormation as n	ny information sh	ould have been re	dacted as th	ne	
victim in the ca	se, and they are hand	lling this as th	eir rules apply on	that end			

Mr. was also supposed to furnish proof on me harassing and contacting him personally which he did not. The proof that he gave the judge was a posting from his own page which he himself wrote and had removed. has been removing all of his posting about me because they have received a copy of my injunction of harassment and the Department of the Police Department contacted them about this matter as well, in order to get the police records he had posted with my personal information down from his wall.

Mr. also gave a posting from another one of his victims pages claiming I had wrote it. The screen shot came from page dated in which she me in the has charges pending against him (see Case Number: , Det. and also has an injunction of harassment against Mr. (See The posting did not say his name nor did it give anything to indicate it was about Mr. in it.

Another thing that was held against me was a news report made by He claimed that it was inciting others and that it was harassing him. The reporter did a story with me on response to the System being hard to get through to prove harassment and stalking, and the laws needing to be changed when it comes to social media on the topic

Mr. name and picture was not given in this yet he called Ms. and ranted and raved using very foul language minutes after the Ms. then proceeded to call me at on and warned me not to go home that night because of the things Mr. had stated to them on the phone.

The only information in which Mr. had that I did actually post was in reference to me asking people/friends we have in common to leave my pages. I was advised to remove anyone who may be his friend from my page because they were only causing more problems for this case and I have

#### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 4:

people on my page due to my involvement in and is BLOCKED on EVERY social media site there is no way for me to know who is a friend on his page, so his name and link was shared in asking people to remove themselves from my pages. This was not harassment as he was not tagged nor should he or his witnesses and family, and close friends be able to see my pages as they are all blocked and banned. This information was shared with the Detective who had him arrested, with Judge who presided over my initial injunction, Judge who presided over Mr. appeal of my injunction which was upheld (after which Mr. publically posted a threat against myself and Judge twice on his page), and again with Judge when my injunction was amended to cover Harassment. This information is also being used as information against Mr. for his felony case under which he has been indicted for.

During the case Mr. was allowed to show what he thought was evidence against me. He even was allowed to have come forward and testify for him which they lied and had no proof to show their prove their position. One of the witnesses was who had come to my Political Public (because she is blocked on my other pages due to her harassment of myself and threatened me due to my case against Mr. I do not know this woman nor the other witness I have never been friends with them online or in my personal life. I during the hearing. I also brought up the fact that Det. had to call Ms. stated that to Judge and let her know a report had been made against her and that if she were to contact me again she too would be arrested, but it didn't seem to matter to Judge

Mr. has been known in my case against him to ask people to lie for him in court. Detective was given the screen shots of the people's messages stating that Mr. had threatened them because they refuse to lie for him in court. I have been forced off of my own Facebook Pages which I use for work and have opened a new page which is very limited. I asked Judge to look at my pages to see that I have no contact with this man, nor am I harassing him and she flat out refused stating she would not look at my evidence.

Judge completely overlooked the fact that both Mr. and his admitted on record to violating my restraining order on record by stating that Mr. is still stalking my pages and having his friends watch my pages for him. He should have been arrested on the violation immediately, but was not due to Judge not caring about the evidence or what she was hearing in this case over all. Even the County Attorney's Office were baffled at this way this case was handled and how this judge could uphold this order.

In the end, proper procedure was not followed as not of the conditions for getting the order were met. There was not a series or pattern of harassment which is needed to prove this case as the evidence used was from the Petitioners own page, and another victims page.

### AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 5:

The judge failed to look at any evidence I had to dispute the matter, which is also my right as a person to show to defend myself against false accusations. Had she done this, she would have seen that no harassment was made against Mr from myself personally in person or online from any of my pages as I had a copy of all of my on disc there for her to review which shows all activity on a including postings and messages that have been deleted if there were any.

Judge would have also been given the evidence to show that Mr. was in fact doing the very things he was accusing me of doing with the numerous screen shots of his violations (which I had on disc for her review) and police reports in my injunction which is being used in his Criminal Case of which he has been indicted. In fact she also would have seen Mr. and his posting on his page how they were going to come after my work in all of my professions, especially my political career; and the numerous threats that they and many of his friends have made to me and his other victim.

All statements on record are supposed to be based on factual information and not lies according to AZ Law. The Petitioner did indeed lie in order to get the petition and in the appeal with Judge wher he stated that he had been harassed and he did not know my address which is proven in the Criminal Case against him which he is looking at serving time for a on

The AZ statute section G was also violated in this case since it does state that "The court shall not grant a mutual injunction against harassment. If opposing parties separately file verified petitions for an injunction against harassment, the courts after consultation between the judicial officers involved may consolidate the petitions of the opposing parties for hearing". The Judge did not care that this was a vindictive case made against me by Mr.

and that there was an injunction against him from and in the same court. Even the County Attorney's Office was baffled as to why this case was not consolidated and taken before Judge who was whom the original order was established with.

In upholding this order was should not have legally been upheld based on lack of evidence the Judge has allowed the person to now legally harass me more and threaten to have me

as he has stated numerous times in his postings on social media. She has allowed for him to take the only work I have by granting the order as well since I can no longer work in politics or do public speaking on and other topics, due to having an injunction against me. She has also made it impossible for me to leave my home because this man follows me everywhere which is why he is facing numerous charges of violating my order against him. He is now able to follow me anywhere I go and have me locked up for violation his order against me claiming he did not know I was there.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.