## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-255

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge had denied them their due process rights in a dependency proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 14, 2015

# FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the judge on October 14, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature

Date:

#### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

The first initial letter our I filed to the Indge, I denied never recieved a response. From the start of the Case the Only sides were heard was - and the The was able to address the court with a letter which mine attorney recieved before hand, And was granted the request of my not to atlend any of Ductor appointments, The Placement took over at every proposintment and requested Not be at the appointments. Any complaintor request that placement presented to the court against. Was granted in the caboots of ANY request my Attorney requested to Aid in me Usiting to school was never Considered or granted. Although I had an Attorney every Plead he submitted was denied for any contact or helping, ortaking Visitation, or reunification with my grand Parents rights for visitation, I can only have visits with she and Not my and I were treated in fair Whom I raised From birth. and I were treated in fair in this is the Court room. I name to share usits with my with the a were. Our your or concerns were never with the a were. Our your or concerns were never consider acknowledged or heard. Supporting to currents Attached AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 2

We were denied a change of Venike; although we live All of the placements information was considered, And honor as creditables viable, which she only knew and for , And a short period of time. concerns and rights were never heardor Considered. and I were denied Any with my for until today. Court documents of medical neglet were never proven by a Physican, and Courtdocuments state a untrue statement of the placement being kinship To the family. The placement was given rights to change the make my buy and comply without physicans approve change schools at the request of the sholge, has solicited (Granted ) on social mediest Admits to the inital plans of adoption, And all thatsaid Was Sumeone within should remind her NO + to do so, when It is against the court Statues of the law. Placement Can make up any Scenario such as, saying my does not want to see her when the therapist in fact (Graphed by was asking to yot to see her said my Eury Court date placement was allowed to deny rights or priviledges to the without Concrete the Judge) for evidence of any facts, but her words, And was granted total "the Process" We as the have been cut out of the to come to Ines with out No explanation, as to a reason until this day, And the Judge has granted this un Fair treatment from And any supporting documents I filed were never Considered atall. Place look carefully into the natter It all the facts for our legal rights and priviledges to our Thank you! Thank you! Supporting Documents following this Page

Every Document ve filed, which we were told by the court, that we could, was never responded too. Recently we recrued a letter stating our case was close, when it was never heard or responded too, our case help! in this Injustree to us