State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-260
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner ignored evidence and displayed inappropriate courtroom demeanor during a protective order proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 28, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on October 28, 2015.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

THIS JUDGE, ALONG WITH HIS COURT RECORDER, COULD NOT BE A BIGGER VIOLATION OF RULE 1.2 OF THE COR OF JUDGEME CONDUCT.

AND I HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO FAITH IN THE COUNTY JUDGEME SYSTEM AFTER A HEARING WITH THIS JUDGE. HE WAS BEYOND RUDE, DISRESPECTFUL AND CONDESCENDING TO EVERYONE IN THE COURT ROOM EXCEPT HIS COURT RECORDER INCLUDING THE DEFENDANT AND HIS WITNESSES AS WELL. MY CASE WAS CLEARLY A JOKE TO HIM. ENTERTAINMENT TO HIM. HE EVEN ASKED THE DEFENDANT

THE DEFENDANT, WHICH HAD ABSOLUTELY MOTHING TO DO WITH THE RELEVANCY OF THE VERY SERIOUS CASE, BUT WAS FUNNY TO HIM AND HIS UNPROFESSIONAR RECORDER AS WELL. HE IS ALSO IN GROSS VIOLATION OF RULE 2.2. THIS JUDGE WOULD NOT ADMIT INTO EVIDENCE ONE (1) SINGLE

DOCUMENT THAT I HAD, AND IN FACT EVEN REFUSED
TO COOK AT IT OR HEAR WHAT IT HAD TO SAY, OR
LOOK AT THE
OF EVIDENCE FROM THE
POLICE DEPT. THAT CLEARLY SHOWED THE
ABUSE FROM THE DEFENDANT THAT

SUSTAINED. THERE IS ALSO AN OPEN CASE WITH AS WELL AS POLICE WHICH HE REFLIED TO EVEN ACKNOWLEDGE AS WELL. THE DEFENDANT AND HIS WITNESS CLEARLY AND OBVIOUSLY BOTH PERSURED THEMSELVES, AND THE PREPONDER ANCE OF EULOENCE CLEARLY WAS OVER WHELMINGLY PROJEN BY ME THE PLAINTIFF, AS WELL AS MY WITNESS.

WITHESS STATEMENTS WERE ALSO IGNORED. ALL OF THIS IS CLEAN ON THE PERONOMY IF IN FACT THE PERONOMY IF IN FACT THE PERONOMY IF IN FACT THE PERONOMY DID HEN JOB. A JUDGE IS TO SERVE ME, THE PUBLIC. IT IS HIS JOB. NOT TO ACT ALL MIGHTY AND POWERFUL. TO LISTEN TO EVIDENCE AND PLULE AND THAT IS IT. THIS IS THE TAIL WARGING THE DOG HERE AND IT MEEDS TO STOP NOW. THIS IS A VERY SERIOUS ABUSE CASE THAT HE JUST DISMISSION AS HIS ENTEXTAINMENT AND DISMISSION THE PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR

PUTTING IN DAMGER ACHAIN. I TOLD

THAT THEY WOULD BE PROTECTED. IF AMITHINGS
IMPORNS TO THEM AGAIN I WILL HOLD
PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS COROSS
WEGGLETT OF HIS DUTY AND CARLESLES IN HIS POSITION.
I WILL BE ORDERING A COPY OF THESE PROCEEDINGS AND

PURSUE EVERY AVENUE AVAILABLE TO AND I.