#### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

## Disposition of Complaint 15-269

Judge:

Complainant:

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge was prejudiced against him, made improper remarks to counsel, and failed to follow the law in a domestic relations matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Commission members Anna Mary Glaab and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 5, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing i have provided upon which my allegations of judicial me true and correct.	
Signature:	
Date:	

#### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

	See Attached Pages
į	

See Attached Pages	
J	
	ł

# **Brief Summary of Facts/Procedural History**

-The parties were divorced by con-	sent decree on	
-The parties' have	at issue, specifically	
-In the Decree, the parties agreed and time which we the decree. In accordance with the	was included in a Parenting Plan that was incorpo	rated into
relocate Mother	er attempted to remove the minor child from claimed that Father had intended to move to d already left. Father filed an emergency action, after relinquished same. The Court saw	
-In by agreement, the partie share physical custody. By agreem schedule.	s moved back to and co nent the parties also began to follow a week on/w	ntinued to reek off
believed it would be a greater ben	dicated she wanted to move to efit for her financially. Father made plans to move the understanding that Mother was moving as a	
further north than where she lived	sed Father that she had moved to I previously. This move was never discussed or ever In Mother had moved. Mother then requested	-
for full custody. Evidence was prov written by mother to her landlords	where it was proven, beyond a recked father into moving away so that she could, in vided to the court and testified upon, i.es, whom at the time where but of their house, so that she could then move to	n turn, file
-After initially scolding mother for Mother with	actions and lack of communication the court prov	vided .

	court later reversed its decision only after red while the	er learning that Mother had hidder	ıa	
-Perm	anent orders were entered in	with Father affirmed to have		
	Court did not change the temporary orderements that she engage in	ers because Mother did not comply	y with the	
-In ensur	Father attempted to obta e the safety of the minor child because r yet the Court still denied same.	•	_ :, to or being	
result granti petition that N merel	tion be Although the parties was minimal, specifically Mother's obliging Father the additional support the Co	urt simply denied the request. Fat sal to pay child support. Although	income, the Instead of her cross Father showed to pay, but	
	Mother filed for a r moved for the action to be transferred r is still pending and is awaiting assignm		ranted. The	
	Comp	laint/Issue		
until Profes	In his rulings and actions, , and then again in ssional Conduct numerous times and in r	who was assigned the matter fro until the present, has viola numerous ways. Specifically, he ha	ted the Code of	
	(1) Failed to comply with court procedures,			
	(2) failed to uphold/follow the relevant statutes,			
	(3) failed to enforce the Court's orders	ı		
	(4) failed to be impartial and unbiased, and			
	(5) engaged in improper conduct during the hearings.			
	It seems easiest to reference the heari	ngs chronologically and provide fu	rther	

information on the violations therein. Specifically:

#### **Evidentiary Hearings**

This was	s to be an evidentiary hearing reg	arding temporary orders	
_ · fa	iled to comply with the relevant s	statutes/law when he focused on a co	ntested
DV issue from	which occurred	prior, did not include the	or
Mother, had litt	tle relevance on the current situa	tion, and had already been heard duri	ing the
case in	that was mentioned earlier. Th	e Judge ignored the	_
	and also ignored	d Mother's malicious acts in tricking Fa	ather into
moving, when r	naking its ruling.		

The Court was not fair, nor impartial, allowing Mother to testify about things that were not plead, nor argued, and had little relevance on the issue at hand. Also, the Court ordered that Father obtain \_\_\_\_\_\_, when the only information regarding these concerns were Mothers testimony of the past, and her accusations of Father having a history of issues. There was no evidence of same, nor was it anything current or presently relevant.

#### **Evidentiary Hearing**

This was an evidentiary hearing set to deal with modification of the temporary orders due to the fact that Mother was

The Judge failed to both enforce his own orders and/or hold Mother accountable for her violation of same. Specifically, the requirement that neither party
, as well as the order for Mother to obtain

The Court was not impartial. Rather than focusing on the the Court order the exchange to occur later in the week. Mother was shown to lie and/or mislead the Court, without incident from the Judge.

The Court failed to enforce the statutes/law in this matter, basically focusing on the parties inability to communicate, rather than Mother's dangerous and thoughtless acts.

The Court made regular remarks against Father and his counsel.

### **Evidentiary Hearing**

This evidentiary hearing was set to hear

The Court ignored evidence that showed Mother was provided very significant amounts of child support, it may not have been through the clearing house, but everything she was

provided supported her and minor child. Specifically, she was provided
, by father and/or his family, which stated its relations to her and specifics about what it was for, i.e.. These accounted to over over the course of a years. The Court ignored the evidence, i.e.- and still made
Father pay further arrears.

The Court also ignore evidence of the and seemed to pick numbers out of the air, usually at a benefit to Mother.

Worse still, the Court was biased and partial to Mother, requiring Father to prior to receiving current obligation from Mother at

The payback equaled about of Father's Net, even though he made less than Mother and Mother no longer had to

The Court made snide remarks to Father and his counsel

### **Evidentiary Hearing**

This hearing was to deal with
due to Mother's refusal to comply with the
resulted from the hearing. Specifically, she had and missed many
others, which counted as Also, Mother provided no information on engaging in
as ordered.

The Court failed to follow the statutes, finding no issue with Mother's actions, even stating something like ", even though she had recently been convicted of The clearly would be against Mother regarding her mental health and what is

The Court also failed to uphold it's own orders. Even though Mother was shown to violate the orders, the Court did nothing.

The Court was biased toward Mother, allowing her to argue about the issue of ... ;, when it had never been plead and was not even shown to have been discussed by the parties. Mother generally did not have to plead issue, but could merely talk about them in Court to obtain orders from the Judge.

The Court made remarks about Father and his counsel.

It should be noted that the Court had indicated an internal follow up/review on these issues would occur in Mother provided correspondence from her counselor, which indicated she did not engage in same from . until in violation of the order entered on from the hearing. Mother also did not engage in further resulting in additional from the through the

## **Evidentiary Hearings**

These evidentiary hearings were schedule to deal with Mother's

The Court did not uphold the statute as Mother's pleadings included false and unsupported allegations, yet the Court did nothing against her and still heard the issues.

Further, Mother failed to show a significant and substantial change in circumstances, in fact, after calculations were complete Mothers obligation

Yet the Court did nothing, refused to grant Father the additional or the attorney fees and costs for having had to respond.

The Court gave Mother extreme leeway with the information presented. Mother did not provide the items required under Rule 49 for disclosure, without incident. Mother also provided one pay stub which supported her claims, and one which discredited it. The Court ignored the evidence against Mother's claims without cause.

The Court failed to follow the guidelines which require a showing of a continuing and substantial change of circumstances. Mother testified she was in the same job, with the same employer, earning the same amount.

Father was not given an opportunity for discovery or disclosure during the matter as the hearing was scheduled quickly and a request for a continuance denied.

Mother was allowed to testify about issues not plead or previously discussed/disclosed.

Mother talked about \_ \_ \_ but provided nothing. Mother discussed changes in her without any proof of same.

Mother was shown to violate the orders, purposefully and with full knowledge, yet she was not held in contempt.

The Court did not even look at what was provided in evidence when making its ruling.

The Court Ordered Mother to have a specifically "
." No testimony about this. No issue even made on this. In truth, Mother was in arrears and unable to , Father was not, so there was .

Court made comments about Father and his counsel-Mocking them, complaining about them, suggesting improper behavior.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.