## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

D	isposition	of	Compl	laint	15-2	73
---	------------	----	-------	-------	------	----

Judge:

Complainant:

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly granted two orders of protection, improperly refused to hold a hearing when he was only fifteen minutes late, and improperly denied him the right to appeal.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Commission members Gus Aragon, Anna Mary Glaab, and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 5, 2016.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:_		
Date:		
	INSTRUCTIONS	

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

On I signed off on two Injunctions Against Harassment Judge . Based upon the sequential numbers, date, and the fact that the Plaintiffs . I think it is safe to assume that these orders were issued at the same time are Both orders contain identical allegations; many of which are over a by Judge some are not even directed at the Plaintiffs, and others make claims of harassment based upon simple, everyday activities. To further add insult to injury, Judge enjoined me from Judge also ordered because the Plaintiffs live and that I not " "into the Plaintiffs' yard, though they can

A.R.S. 12-1809(E) clearly states that "The court shall review the petition...If the court finds reasonable evidence of harassment of the plaintiff by the defendant during the year preceding the filing of the petition...the court shall issue an injunction..." A.R.S. 12-1809(S) states "For the purposes of this section, 'harassment' means a series of acts over any period of time that is directed at a specific person and that would cause a reasonable person to be seriously alarmed, annoyed or harassed and the conduct in fact seriously alarms, annoys or harasses the person and serves no legitimate purpose."

Given the constraints of the aforementioned law, it would seem that Judge upon reviewing the orders and listening to the arguments of the two Plaintiffs in the ex parte hearings, would: 1) immediately be aware that the two Injunctions cannot contain identical allegations; to do so would mean one or both of the Plaintiffs is perjuring themselves, 2) have struck out the allegations which are older than 3) have struck out allegations which were not directed specifically at the Plaintiff listed on the order, 4) have struck out allegations that I did not commit (yes, the Plaintiffs even mentioned my mother in a few of the allegations), and 5) questioned the allegations concerning me being in my yard when even the Plaintiffs acknowledge that I did nothing other than " to be watching them. Judge clearly disregarded the Arizona Revised Statutes and instead decided to legislate from the bench.

