State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-288

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in improper ex parte communications, improperly failed to grant him a default judgment, delayed rulings, and was prejudiced against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 9, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 9, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

There are evidences that suggest that Judge	was contacted to dismiss Plaintiff's Amended
Complaint Default against Compar	y. Plaintiff earned a Amended Complaint Default
against company because Defendants' lawyer	rs failed to Answer/Response timely.
Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint against Defendants on	and Defendants did not
Answer/Response until Defendants' attorneys	were too late in Answering Plaintiff's
Amended Complaint. Plaintiff is entitled to an Amended Comp	aint Default.
There are no Arizona Rule of Civil Procedures that will perm	it a Defendant/Defendants to
	only allow to Answer an Amended Complaint.
It is impossible for Judge to name an Rule that	the could use to "vacate" Plaintiff's Amended
Complaint Default.	
Company has deep pockets and they are using those deep pockets to allegedly buy	
their way of the that they owe Plaintiff, by en	
bribe.	5 5
Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Default was filed on	and Judge is taking over to
Answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Default. Arizona Laws s	
Motion.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Plaintiff speculates that Judge and Defendants' lawy	ers are searching for a believable Arizona Rule that
	eady tried to use A.R.C.P. Rule 15(a)(3), 6(a) and 6(e)
to vacate Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Default. Judge	told Plaintiff that A.R.C.P rule 15(a)(3), 6(a) and 6(e)
allowed Defendants days and	to Answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. A.R.C.P
Rule 15(a)(3), 6(a) and 6(e) allows days to Defendants	
an address on file, so Defendants was not entitled to Rule 15(a)(3), 6(a) and 6(e) to allow Defendants days to	
Answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. Also, A.R.C.P. Rule 15(a) do not allows weekends and holidays to be	
counted as extra days in Rule 15(a) time-table. A.R.C.P Rules allow total to Answer an Amended	
Complaint. are part of that tot	
Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. Defendants were	
Rules are written in stone. It is impossible for Judg	
grant him a legit reason to vacate Plaintiff Amended Complaint	
Complaint and cannot supply a legit reason for vacating Plaintin	
charged with Ex-parte.	
	n without judges meeting behind closed doors to find a
way to rob an out of a civil court case.	
PLAINTIFF WOULD LIKE TO BE INVITED TO A HEARING AN	D PRESENT PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINTS TO THE
JUDICIAL BOARD. PLEASE SET A HEARING DATE AND IN	
THERE SHOULD BE NO PLACE ON THE BENCH FOR CROO	
L	

AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 2

Plaintiff is sending a copy of this letter to Court Judges. Hopefully one of these branches of courts will find a way to allegedly stop Judges for fixing court cases. The enclosed Motion will explain some of the illegal activities by Arizona Judges. Plaintiff will be filing an individual complaint against each judge. There are audio and video in court files that will confirmed judges illegal activities.

AZ CJC COMPLAINT FORM 3