#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 15-300

Judge:

Complainant:

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him, displayed inappropriate courtroom demeanor, and prosecuted his case from the bench in a criminal proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Commission members Anna Mary Glaab and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 5, 2016.

Comp

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-300

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
THIS TUDGE ALLOWED I.D.C. INDIGENT DEFENSE CORDINATOR
to cut my DEFENSE FUND, FIRST CUTTING FUND FOR MY ATTORNEY
A PRIVATE I.D.C. CONTRACT DEFENSE ATTORNEY, DELAYING
MY EASE TILL THAT MATTER WAS RESOLVED. HE ALSO ALLOWED THEM
to cut THE DEFENSE FUNDING FOR THE DEFENSE INVESTIGATOR THAT
I.D.C. APPOINTED to INVESTIGATE FOR MY ATTORNEY IN THIS MASE NOT
DUCE BUT TWICE HE DENIED THE DEFENSE FUNDING. FUNDING THAT
WAS MUCH NEED SO THAT THE ATTORNEY IN MY MASE COULD ADEQUATELY
PREPARE FOR THE SUPPRESSION HEARING IN THIS CASE AS WELL AS FOR
TRIAL, AND FOR THOSE REASON MY ATTORNEY LOULD NOT DRESENT THE
THING NEED to ASSURE ME A FAIR TRIAL AT THE SUPPRESSION HEARING
THIS JUDGE WAS RUDE ACTUALLY PROCECUTING THE CASE HIMSELF
WALKING AROUND THE COURT ROOM OBJECTING, ASKING BUESTION, AND
PROCEEDING TO DO THE PROCECUTORS TOB. HE MADE BIAS STATEMENTS
DIRING THE HEARING TELLING MY ATTORNEY THAT HE WOULD BELIEVE
ANY STATEMENT MADE BY THE MADE
DURING THE SUPPRESSION HEARING, AS WELL AS ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT
OFFICERS THAT ALSO TESTIFYED. HE DID NOT ALLOW MY ATTORNEY TO
TRESENT THE MUCH NEED CASE LAW TO CONTEST THE DEARCH WARRANT
AT THAT HEARING BEING 200E INTERUPTING MY LAWYER
ACTING AS NOT ONLY THE JUDGE, BUT THE PROCECUTOR AS WELL.
HE DENIED THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS WITHOUT ALLOW US TO FINISH
WITH THE MOSING ARGUEMENTS. HE TREATENED TO REMOVE MY ATTORNEY
FROM MY LASE IF SHE ASKED FOR A CONTINUENCE FOR THE TRIAL!

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-300

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	_ Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that constitutes judici times, and places that will help the commission und	ame size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words all misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, erstand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached cuments. Please complete one side of the paper only, and
· /	DEFENSE FUNDING FOR OUR MUCH
NEED INVESTIGATOR FOR THE DE	FENSE to BE ABLE to PREPARE
	way, making it impossible for
ME to GET A FAIR TRIAL. I	Y SOT NO BETTER AS WE STARTED
TRIAL	AS WE STARTED MOST OF THE
WITNESSES MY ATTORNEY HAD SU	BPOENAED TO DESENT THE MOST
FACTUAL EVIDENCE IN THE DEL	FERSE HE PRELLUDED NOT ALLOWALL,
US to DRESENT DUR CASE IN	FACT MUCH LIKE THE SUPPRESSION
HEARING DEFE	RE, THIS TUDGE ACTED IN THE
SAME MANNER, PROCECUTING	ME HIMSELF AND ALBOWING THE
PROCECUTION ANGITHING THEY	NAMED to PRESENT, ALONG WITH
MUCH DERTURED TESTIMONY I	BY THE CASE OFFICER AS WELL AS
OTHER SEGRES THAT TESTIFIE	ED FOR THE PROCECUTION, BUT
LIMITING MY DEFENSE ATTORNE	y AS to CROSS EXAMINATION, CUTTURE
	TOF THE JURY. THE DEFENSE
WAS NOT ALLOWED to MAKE A	- SUBSTANCIAL DEFENSE IN MY
BEHALF, CAUSING ME A UNF	PIR TRIAL IXI VIOLATION OF MY
UNITED STATE CONSITUTIONAL A	PIGHTS TO A FAIR TRIAL, AND
A COMPLETE DEFENSE. THIS	TUDGE IS A DISGRACE TO THE
JUDICIAL COMMISSION, AND S	•
BE A SITTING JUDGE. THIS T	TYPE OF BEHAUIOR IS UNBECOMING
DE A JUDGE AND SHOULD BE	DELT WIT
	THANK 1/00,