

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-328

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in inappropriate courtroom demeanor and denied the parties their right to be heard.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him that when managing a high volume calendar with tight time constraints, it is important not to give litigants the impression that their matter will not be fully heard, thereby avoiding a violation of Rule 2.5(A). The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Anna Mary Glaab and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez

Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on February 5, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2 DEFENDANTS  
conspired  
lied &  
falsified  
INFO

See attached  
I have asked  
an article

to write

• Now Complaining to

• Wrote to

who  
has been  
slandered,  
and labeled  
without a  
word from  
the judge.

• ASKED FOR MOTION IGNORED

• ALLOWED PAPERWORK PREVIOUSLY  
SUBMITTED FROM

WAS ACTING AS ATTY FOR OTHER  
DEPENDANT

• IGNORED UNSIGNED CONTRACT  
GIVEN AFTER THE

• OVER ALL REALLY JUST WANTED  
US OUT OF COURTROOM FOR NEXT CASE

I understand that most written charges against lawyers eventually become a public record. I understand that all information on this form, including my name and address, will be available for review by the lawyer and others who may view the file. This charge form and other submissions by me will be sent to the lawyer.

DATE

SIGNATURE

Print/Type Name.

SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM TO:

STATE BAR OF ARIZONA

4201 N 24<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100

Phoenix, AZ 85016-6266

4201 N 24<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100 • Phoenix, AZ 85016-6266

TE 602-252-4804 • FAX 602-271-4930 • PUBLIC [www.azbar.org](http://www.azbar.org) • MEMBERS [www.myazbar.org](http://www.myazbar.org)

This is the  
same man, just next  
to  
the  
who is  
a judge

State Bar of Arizona

4201 N 24<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100

Phoenix, Arizona 85016

2015-328

Re Regarding My Filing and Charges Against

With regards to the Defendants, their collaborative LIES, Falsified Testimonials, Libel/Slander of Me

TOLD and additional LIE, that the Judge totally missed and misinterpreted

The Defendant presented to the Judge, something he CALLED a "contract" A "contract would reflect the agreement that was made to allow them to However, I DID submit to the Court both a notation of a "verbal quotation" and then ASKED FOR AND RECEIVED a written confirmation/proposal, prior to the ; IN FACT, I EXPLAINED NEVER DISCUSSED A CONTRACT, NOR ASKED ME TO SIGN ONE THE TIME THAT I SAW THIS PIECE OF PAPER WAS WHEN ; SAID HE NEEDED TO NEGOTIATE THE PRICE, BECAUSE HE FELT THAT ( HIS FORMER BOSS) UNDERBID ( AGAIN) THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THE MOVE WOULD TAKE SO THE THE 2<sup>ND</sup> PUT DOWN ON THE PAPERWORK THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF HOURS THAT THEY MOVED, WHICH IS 18 HOURS! ( IF THE CONTRACT WAS MADE AS SUGGESTED, IT WOULD HAVE SAID 6 HOURS LIKE HIS VERBAL AND WRITTEN PROPOSAL The felt knowing how difficult is ( which is why he told me he was leaving the following week) that HE had the authority to "negotiate, without speaking to the boss He asked if I would pay for 10 hours, NO I responded but since it was to be 6 hours, I would pay for 3 hours more, and he agreed to that amount HE wrote in the amount of the : that was agreed upon and said he needed to make out this paper FOR HIS BOSS so they could get paid NOT THAT IT WAS A CONTRACT NOR DID HE ASK ME TO SIGN IT, SO MY SIGNATURE DOES NOT EVEN SHOW ANYWHERE, ON ANY PAPERWORK YET, said see here on the contract, it says I pay AND THE JUDGE BOUGHT IT! Never a contract, never signed, lied to about insurance available!

I have included this form, in this letter to add to the complaint I have already written that he threw us out of the court in 22 minutes, he would not allow our witnesses any time or conversation, YET he took copies of falsified "testimonials" from and made the statement " I will accept these as if the people were present" HE WOULD NOT TAKE ANYTHING FROM ME, NOR MY WITNESS WHO IS A PROFESSIONAL, AND TOOK ALL THE PICTURES OF DAMAGE, INVESTIGATION INTO COSTS TO REPAIR OR REPLACE, AND HAD THE "FORENSICS" TO PROVE THAT THE DAMAGE WAS VERY RECENT So twice I was



of our time, and then "shooed us out of the Court" Witnesses/Professionals and all! Really tacky, inappropriate as I am left with about \$3850 ++ in damage and large costs for the Professional, who was disregarded and sent from the Courtroom , as the JUDGE SAID, due to the time he could give us, DUE TO ANOTHER PRESSING MATTER THAT CAME ON HIS CALENDAR INAPPROPRIATE, RUDE AND POORLY DONE I HAVE A VALID CASE FOR ALL THE DAMAGE, without question

In the new filing, I have filed against each separately, so **does NOT ATTEMPT TO ACT AS HER**  
**LAWYER** His reputation precedes him, according to the **and the**  
 where they both preside

Thank You for your attention to this very disturbing matter. It is only possible in a small City that this could happen, and here it is FULL BLOWN.

Regarding Case No

10

To Honorable and to Other To Whom It May Concern

I have filed, this date, a Motion/Pleading with regard to time constraints placed upon the parties. There seems, and I strongly feel that there are many more issues to go into in pertinent detail for this matter to be appropriately decided. (You were kind enough, and correct, that this one is one that you may need to "study" as it IS INDEED complicated, and has many facets. It's the hidden, underbelly, ugly facets that are concerning to me, that seem to require more light of day.

#1) To be accused of "desecrating" my own items is ludicrous! To what end? For what sane purpose? This is completely unfathomable to me, and utterly false. This, among many other disturbing statements made by the Defendants is extremely disturbing to me. It only goes to further the information the alluded to me, by pointing to their head and making circles with their forefinger, (mouthing crazy) with regard to

#2) This "story" about the "broken bird found in a cabinet in the laundry room is very odd, and totally fabricated. In fact, the lady that moved into my home, contacted me to tell me, about after she moved, that she found a bag in a closet with all these broken parts. It was shoved to the back she said. I stopped by the home and her gave me the bag, which I was puzzled about, so I opened it. Inside was a, broken off it's glass post, and

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**