## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-328	

Complainant:

Judge:

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in inappropriate courtroom demeanor and denied the parties their right to be heard.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him that when managing a high volume calendar with tight time constraints, it is important not to give litigants the impression that their matter will not be fully heard, thereby avoiding a violation of Rule 2.5(A). The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Anna Mary Glaab and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 5, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 5, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Use additional sheets if necessary. PLEASE DO NOT USE THE BACK OF THIS FORM.
Service Se attached
2 Defendant
De attached
Lower 1
lied to write
led hed strate.
Lower for
a hard Assimulation of the
1 - NOW CANDENDERS XV Z
Hada III +
Durale 10
Who south 7/10
16 CO TOS NOTTON TENORED
harbeer 142 les De Contratos De Contratos
Olindered, OHOWED PAPERSWORKS PETVIOUSLY
STRATITED TENAN
and lebelle 11/12 day 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
IOTES TOUTING HE STOP OUTLING
without DEFENDANT.
word took
- JUNORED UNSIENED CONTRACT
the feet 86. Gulfal SF178 1112 Flat
OVER ALL XEARLY JUST WANTED
I understand that most written charges against lawyers eventually become a public record. I
understand that all information on this form, including my name and address, will be available for
review by the lawyer and others who may view the file. This charge form and other submissions by me will be sent to the lawyer.
DATE SIGNATURE
Print/Type Name
SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM TO:
STATE BAR OF ARIZONA
4201 N 24th Street, Suite 100
SIGNATURE  Print/Type Name.  SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM TO: STATE BAR OF ARIZONA 4201 N 24th Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85016-6266  Phoenix, AZ 85016-6266
\$201 N 24th Street, Suite 100 + Phoenix, AZ 85016-6266
Ale 1 BS
who ' have a

Comp

State Bar of Arizona

4201 N 24th Street, Suite 100

Phoenix, Arizona 85016

2015-328

Re Regarding My Filing and Charges Against

With regards to the Defendants, their collaborative LIES, Falsified Testimonials, Libel/Slander of Me

TOLD and additional LIE, that the Judge totally missed and misinterpreted

The Defendant presented to the Judge, something he CALLED a "contract" A "contract would reflect the agreement that was made to allow them to However, | DID submit to the Court both a notation of a "verbal quotation" and then ASKED FOR AND RECEIVED a written confirmation/proposal, prior to the EXPLAINED NEVER DISCUSSED A CONTRACT, NOR ASKED ME TO SIGN ONE THE TIME THAT I SAID HE NEEDED SAW THIS PIECE OF PAPER WAS WHEN TO NEGOTIATE THE PRICE, BECAUSE HE FELT THAT ( HIS FORMER BOSS) UNDERBID ( AGAIN) THE THE 2<sup>ND</sup> AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THE MOVE WOULD TAKE SO THE DOWN ON THE PAPERWORK THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF HOURS THAT THEY MOVED, WHICH IS 18 HOURS! (IF THE CONTRACT WAS MADE AS SUGGESTED, IT WOULD HAVE SAID 6 HOURS LIKE HIS VERBAL AND WRITTEN PROPOSAL The felt knowing how difficult why he told me he was leaving the following week) that HE had the authority to "negotiate, without speaking to the boss. He asked if I would pay for 10 hours, NO I responded but since it was to be 6 hours, I would pay for 3 hours more, and he agreed to that amount HE wrote in the amount of the that was agreed upon and said he needed to make out this paper FOR HIS BOSS so they could get paid NOT THAT IT WAS A CONTRACT NOR DID HE ASK ME TO SIGN IT, SO MY SIGNATURE DOES NOT EVEN SHOW ANYWHERE, ON ANY PAPERWORK YET, said see here on the contract, it says I pay · ' · |

AND THE JUDGE BOUGHT IT1 Never a contract, never signed, fied to about insurance available!

I have included this form, in this letter to add to the complaint. I have already written that he threw us out of the court in 22 minutes, he would not allow our witnesses any time or conversation, YET he took copies of falsified "testimonials" from and made the statement "I will accept these as if the people were present". HE WOULD NOT TAKE ANYTHING FROM ME, NOR MY WITNESS WHO IS A PROFESSIONAL, AND TOOK ALL THE PICTURES OF DAMAGE, INVESTIGATION INTO COSTS TO REPAIR OR REPLACE. AND HAD THE "FORENSICS" TO PROVE THAT THE DAMAGE WAS VERY RECENT. So twice I was

told Their was no "contract" presented for signature, and when asked if they
counted and marked the boxes I was told they did not do that When I asked in Court, if the
made any notations of damage, she responded NO. When the Judge asked her the
question she answered NO, spoke of one item, which is fact was found by the n
wrapped up tucked in the back of a closet
When was asked about "insurance" he said, which I told the Judge 3 times, HE DID NOT OFFER
INSURANCE Also the Judge was told that presented her business card to me,
HIGHLY RECOMMENDED BY that "she guaranteed 3 times in the 2-3 days worked
she worked at my home IN FACT, SHE DOES NOT HAVE AN ACTUAL BUSINESS REGISTERED IN
', and when asked about it with all the damage found, she offered to "work
for me for \$10 and hour and No, I wanted to know about the "GUARANTEE" and then she
stopped communications (She has been reported to the
She lied, stole, , ; which resulted in the 130+ pictures taken by a
Professional and given to the Judge <sup>1</sup> Yet he never asked her about her business and why she oin
another woman's name Instead wrote, that if the Judge looked on he
would find lots of people who are not licensed! He challenged the Judge and Court to find ONE, and the
Judge disregarded this, too
I filled out A MOTION PAPERWORK prior to leaving the court, yet he ignored it as if I never asked and it
did not exist He is a horrid Judge, did not give the impression that he even knew the facts of all the
responses to the Court, and just wanted to "get this over with"
I herewith include this piece of paperwork filled out at the end of 2 long, difficult days, 18 hours of
No where do you see any consent, and terms and conditions, nor a
signature IT WAS AFTER THE FACT, NOT AS LIED TO THE JUDGE, about THIS TOO
He dismissed this Witnesses, for his ease of getting us out of the Court as another matter APPARENTLY
hit his calendar that he had to handle and we were "squeezed" into this 22 unhealthy minutes NOT
ACCEPTABLE
ACCEPTABLE
Thanks, I have refilled in another Court, in Civil and will now include all the costs of the previous ROUSE
OF A TRIAL This Judge is new to , and from what I can find he has
I DO KNOW, that he just made Really? He needs to be impeached
and quickly! If not impeached, then slapped silly, until he "get's it". I am absolutely in the right here,
they responded as one, collaborated, and lied together, WITH the clear acceptance of the Judge
He would not let me give him papers as to the Witness that drove 160 miles that day to come to Court,
damaged
I have asked that the New Court "subpoena" the Judge's paperwork, and "tapes" of what was said and

what was NOT ALLOWED Discipline is MOST CERTAINLY appropriate in this matter, he wasted months

of our time, and then "shooed us out of the Court" Witnesses/Professionals and all! Really tacky, inappropriate as I am left with about \$3850 ++ in damage and large costs for the Professional, who was disregarded and sent from the Courtroom, as the JUDGE SAID, due to the time he could give us, DUE TO ANOTHER PRESSING MATTER THAT CAME ON HIS CALENDAR INAPPROPRIATE, RUDE AND POORLY DONE I HAVE A VALID CASE FOR ALL THE DAMAGE, without question

In the new filing, I have filed against each separately, so

LAWYER His reputation precedes him, according to the

where they both preside

does NOT ATTEMPT TO ACT AS HER and the

Thank You for your attention to this very disturbing matter. It is only possible in a small City that this could happen, and here it is FULL BLOWN

Regarding Case No

10

To Honorable

and to Other To Whom It May Concern

I have filed, this date, a Motion/Pleading with regard to time constraints placed upon the parties. There seems, and I strongly feel that there are many more issues to go into in pertinent detail for this matter to be appropriately decided. (You were kind enough, and correct, that this one is one that you may need to "study" as it IS INDEED complicated, and has many facets. It's the hidden, underbelly, ugly facets that are concerning to me, that seem to require more light of day.

#1) To be accused of "desecrating" my own items is ludicrous! To what end? For what sane purpose? This is completely unfathomable to me, and utterly false. This, among many other disturbing statements made by the Defendants is extremely disturbing to me. It only goes to further the information the alluded to me, by pointing to their head and making circles with their forefinger, (mouthing crazy) with regard to

#2) This "story" about the "broken bird found in a cabinet in the laundry room is very odd, and totally fabricated. In fact, the lady that moved into my home, contacted me to tell me, about after she moved, that she found a bag in a closet with all these broken parts. It was shoved to the back she said. I stopped by the home and her gave me the bag, which I was puzzled about, so I opened it. Inside was a broken off it's glass post, and

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.