

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-333

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him and predetermined his sentence in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 13, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 13, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2015-333

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:                     

Judge's Name:                     

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a ~~complaint~~ describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Judge in this case is Bias against me and I have been Singled out by him. That                      had pre-determined my sentence in this case, before he had recieved my pre-sentenced report and before he had recieved the state's proposal what they was going to recommend in this case. The Judge Ordered a psycho-analysis in order to determine my sentence in this case. When the psychoanalysis turn out that I was at the extreme low risk of re-offending, actually the score was 0 out of 99 points. I received a zero rating that showed that I was of sound mind etc.

I had a huge turn out support for me to be put on probation instead of being sentence to prison. I have several letters and community business organizations supports, in supporting me for being put on probation. The victim and the victim ask the Court to put me on probation. and the victim and the victim family refused to give a impact statement because the victim had stated to the state that she has not been impacted by this incident. The Judge Ignored the victim and the victim family recommendation. The Court in this matter ignored everything from the family, and friends supporters calling on the Judge to sentence

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2015-333****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

pg2  
me to probation instead of a prison sentence in this case. During my Rule 32 proceed the Judge denied my Rule 32 petition before the state had an opportunity to response to my issues in that petition. The Judge said that he had pre-determined his responses and that he did not need to consider the state responses, even when the state had untimely had file their responses to my Rule 32 petition in this case. I Complaint about the Judge action in pre-determining his decision concerning my Rule 32 petition and I Complmented to the Judge that he retaliated against me for complaining that he had pre-determined his decision in this matter, so the trial Judge used the state's respond as consideration in this matter.

I am not angry in filing this Complaint, but that the Judge Singled me out for punishment by placing his decision to sentence me to prison on a personal level in this case. All indications with the state recommendation that Court should consider placing me on probation, that I had met the criteria of community support, and accountability for my Rehabilitation in this case. I ask that Judge be removed from my criminal case for his bias actions against me in this case,