State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-040					
Judges:		,			
	ı				
Complainant:		•			

ORDER

The complainant alleged two municipal court judges, one now retired, violated her Sixth Amendment rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to both judges, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: May 10, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on May 10, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-040

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	₹	udge's Name:
Instruct what yo	u believe the judge did that constitutes judicis	ume size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words at misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates,
along w		erstand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached uments. Please complete one side of the paper only, and
	Indaa	allowed officer
		to be under patto in
	court and ples	Atomer Did
	not arane or of	sech but only une time
	did he object	he just put there
	not saying anyt	ting When I was
	found guilty	Stated to Judge
	The state of the s	is everyone prawa
	what happens	when the defendant
	DA and to	what happing it the
	own words that.	the DA wasn't under
	outh and I said	well the cop was
	and	said to put it in
	my appeal.	- allowed all
	that persung in	to court and never
	ears nothing it	ofe time both the colo
	no Un was ma	many up together
	my attorney lot	a nothing ,
		tated that I should
a	ppeal the taking	of my cuts since of
no	ever was taken to	ated that I should as my cata since I coult or to vider camera
. 1	I was too late for	the cato were Taken
4	already put to st	the cito were taken in earlier and some were

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-040

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name;		<u>v</u>
what you believe the judge did that times, and places that will help the	n paper of the same size to file a componititutes judicial misconduct. Be spec commission understand your concerns. elevant court documents. Please compl	ific a	and list all of the names, dates,
keep a copy of the complaint for your	records.	ione i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I Told to	the sulson the	t	there was
no comer	e of biless s	1	me the rute
- J. was are	nted for DUF	Ŀ	it I don't
- promy now	The cops and	4	for They
with the	anew Konser	^ 114	na mu ento
they put t	tings on the vi	4	en that was
merer san	in my me bu	1	- yes it was
put pictor	ses of a sew	<u></u>	ato that were
neser e	ven around a	4	that time.
Son time	servia charges	4	rothing,
done noth	ing labout,	_4	vece peut
0.//			
the state he	here corrupti	P	where in
Total ring of	The supering		<u> </u>
13 0	de la		retires
here wide	reading It the	D	the series
My Coh as	verdment was	<u> </u>	violated and
other risto	by both sul	<u>a</u>	IN My appale
ere Daniel And	t . 3 with less		below by their
rech so her	The med Blue		de My appale Lefore petting in
I makes no	sanse !	w yl	Ne demy

2016-040 FEB 12 2016 stated that person

continued 2016-040

for all