

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-053

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper and delayed rulings in her case and engaged in inappropriate courtroom demeanor.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 6, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 6, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

An from in which did not make a ruling. Jurisdiction was brought up several times, ignored my briefs, as if had not read anything. Held the case from until and ruled for the state. has never ruled against the state from the records. Is there to "judge" the case of run interference, and protect the state? The case stems from a issue, that did NOT involve The did not have jurisdiction, after days of trial (months apart) with Judge who did not sign the ruling, but instead it was given to who did not sign it. Then it was passed to (who had never done a case) and signed it within days. was never in the court room, I have never seen has never seen the witnesses. rubber stamped what the wanted.

Then on did not rule on the case. Unprofessional behavior, ethical violations, neither of these judges are fit to judge anything. Biased, prejudice, did not read the transcripts, and did not give a verdict based on issues brought up.

What is there for? wont substitute judgement for the? this was NOT a issue. I have a

When the I was at, staying in, it was a issue NOT The should have told the to handle their own litigation, of course they didnt have a case. but instead went on a witch hunt, found nothing in way of Everything in the complaint was found not to be true, the person who signed it did not have first hand knowledge of any of it.

This is more than a travesty of justice. has had multiple write ups and found to be guilty.

I went to court room on and watched two cases. In the first case it was the word of a, and stated. "when it comes between a and a the word carries more weight"

In my case, told many lies, turns out lied, then told that the at the time, said that was turning this case to the prosecutors and that should 'beef up their security' and would help them write policies. I hired the to talk to and verify. I have in my exhibits a statement from has never heard of me, and has vague recollection of and that would never have a So you have the saying is a liar, and yet goes against own word. (I would have brought this up at oral arguments but REFUSED oral arguments. Although did not sign it, I believe has making these decisions and filing them

Then the second case on was defending a man, and was snickering and giving ridiculous facial looks and grunts, and half laughs. The attorney seemed annoyed but held composure, then said "your just mad what happened three years ago". With that the atty said, "I dont lose a nights sleep over that". They continued to argue, seemed to know would not win case and was stating that. I followed out of the court room, when told me, never rules against the state., never. was condescending, belittling, giving smirks, and dirty grins. I was not impressed.

is the judge but does not have to rotate? A person does not stand a chance with this dictatorship in the court room. Someone has given way too much power with no oversight. Being has a number of complaints but refuses to correct actions, should not be allowed to "judge" anything.

I did not get a ruling on my case, what is being paid to do?

I want a ruling about the having jurisdiction on this case.

Why should I pay a filing fee, and writing more briefs and waiting this game of almost a year to get a statement that is ludicrous?

I was also notified by _____ by mail about a _____ based on the outcome of this ruling. the letter ARRIVED on _____ but this ruling was not available to me until _____ so was _____ on the phone with the _____ ? How did they know the verdict before it was put on the internet?

Is there exparte communication going on?

I would like an investigation to take place, I am sure you can find the cases who the attorneys are on _____ if not I can obtain them.

Any clarification on any of the matters I would be happy to discuss with any of the investigators.

I attest under perjury the statements made & sworn are the truth.