State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-071

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against her, did not allow her to be heard, made a sexist remark, and made improper rulings in a civil matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 12, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 12, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Complaint against Judge Civil Court

I am a 20-year housewife on state assistance, currently defending myself in this civil court case as I have no assets and no borrowing power. I am attempting to secure my assets from

What is happening to me in this court is ILLEGAL and against my Constitutional Rights.

l am up against	power attorneys and a judge,		who
has continued to favor the plaintif	f most of this case. Judge	doesn't question	
attorney,	he only appears to take their v	word for the testime	ony they

give.

In the first court hearing of

The Honorable Judge		asked if we wanted to be together or as 2 separate		
people. Both	and myself, stated we	yself, stated we wanted to be accountable as individuals.		
Attorney	has continued to address		as the same in this	
matter, (MATTER (OF RECORD).			

 At the beginning of a hearing on
 imatter of court record)

 attorney,
 'requested' Judge
 place a "PERMANENT RESTRAINING

 ORDER" against me. Judge
 said he had never placed a PERMANENT RESTRAINING

 ORDER against anyone where there was NO compensation. Judge
 then asked attorney

 'how do I do this'. Attorney
 assured Judge
 it could be done, referencing

 Comerica Bank v. Mahmoodi.
 Said he had never
 Said he had never

signed this PERMANENT INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS on

BEFOREthe hearing onandBEFOREthe trial date onAlso Judgehas joined me with Defendant # 2, which that defendant is totally separatefrom me and has no interest in my assets inor any of its subsidiaries.

EXHIBIT: 1

Throughout these proceedings most of my testimony have gone unaddressed and/or ignored has also requested Judge attorney, by Judge order me to turn over all documents, in this case, within 60 days of the court determination. to cover up the fraud involved in this case and not This decision would allow allow me to proceed with fraud in civil and family court, against their has every subsidiaries and the people involved. It is my belief that Judge allowing them to defraud me of all my shares and intention of siding with has also had documents attorney, interest in this company. sealed in my divorce to cover up lies and deception, I question who is running The Honorable Judge court.

 The next attempt in this case is an ORAL ARGUMENT, (by Judge on I

 to NOT ALLOW me to present evidence and testimony. Judge will be allowing fraud

 and illegal dealings to 'fly under the radar', while penalizing me for lies told by

 attorneys with no evidence of wrong-doing. I turned over to the IRS and FBI

 as they would not provide necessary document to my forensic accountant.

THIS ISN'T EVEN LEGAL! Attempt to not allow me to produce any evidence or argument. – PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE #1: EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT RELATING TO NUMBER OF SHARES AND VALUE OF STOCK (AGAINST DEFENDANT EXHIBIT: U

RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE #1 (AMENDED) EXHIBIT: 4

WHERE ARE MY CONSTITUTION RIGHTS?

Civil liberties are about freedom. They determine what you as an individual are free to do, and what the government cannot do to infringe on your freedom. People often use the terms "civil rights" and "civil liberties" interchangeably, but they're somewhat different. Rights protect you from unfair treatment under the law. Your individual civil liberties are those - inalienable - liberties mentioned in the U.S. Constitution.

In an attempt to gain control of my assets, (one-half of my assets in the parent company

attorney

states I have committed one illegal act after another, including aiding and abetting, 5 allegations of willful contempt, racketeering, and other charges; HOWEVER, these are made-up accusations with no evidence provided, just attorney word.

In a hearing on before the Honorable it was addressed to the court by attorney (my former attorney) this case was suspicious and he told Judge *"This is a Ponzi game"*. Judge said to attorney *"Tell me whether you can or can't go to the police because you think there's crimes being committed. "It's not my job"*. ERHIBIT:5

This "UNDER ADVISEMENT RULING", it is worded almost verbatim which has presented in this case for months. Judge has continued to favor the plaintiff, allowing them to file summary judgements to gain control of my interest in the company. <u>I am</u> of

shareholders in this company as stated in court on

In the hearing on Judge would not allow me to discuss the exhibits I had submitted to the court which provided documents. Judge said "I have read them and don't want them repeated". I was unable to make them a matter of court record. Judge continues in the UNDER ADVISEMENT RULING, to reference what a <u>'reasonable</u> jury would see', no, a reasonable jury would see immediately that I am being 'RAILROADED' in this court by power attorneys of whom the judge is taking his orders from.

 MOST of the content in this "UNDER ADVISEMENT RULING" are the words 'verbatim' which attorney has submitted to this court. I stated in open court on
 that

 I had been sued in Family Court,
 at the same time I was being sued in Civil

 Court
 in an attempt to force me to sell my shares in both courts.

 This appears to have fallen on deaf ears in this court.

 On page 2, Item 2, of this UNDER ADVISEMENT RULING, Judge
 sets the price as to what

 states –
 must sell her shares back to
 at a price of

 however you will notice it states
must sell her shares back to
 It does

 not say 'sell her
 Shares back to
 nor does it state my ownership in the other

 companies.
 NOWHERE does this ruling address Properties, Patents, Royalties and other

 interest of which I am entitled.
 EXHIBIT: 6

According to the AZ Corporation Commission, I am a one-half (½) owner of as shown by **"20% PLUS of shares and/or beneficial interest"**, from through

Onmonths afterfiled this law suit, in an attempt throughsummary judgements to gain control of my stock.a subsidiary of ,released anthat did not materialize but US SEC filings stated it was nearing aDOLLARS, IT WAS A "DEBT FREE" COMPANY AND HAD ALREADY SOLD !IN STOCK.This subsidiary went on to merge with) for

with milestone payments to follow". EXHIBITE 8

I demanded over and over to be give a JURY TRIAL so I could get a "FAIR TRIAL"; however Judge set a Bench Trial and repeatedly stating a jury would see it the way he has presented it. BENCH TRIAL SET. EXHIBIT: 9 The court allowing this civil case to continue over for over two years, has financially destroyed me and family members, which has been the intent of SINCE THE PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT ON ANOTHER RULING HAS BEEN MADE, DATED

Civil Court Case Information – Case History. EXHIBIT: 10

Latest demand for a JURY TRIAL. STATUS MEMORANDUM, EXHIBIT: 1.

At the first hearing onJudgeplaced arestraining order on me, not allowing me to discuss this matter, and not allowing me to be incontact with the IRS or the SEC. At that same time, Judgeallowedattorneysto 'SEAL' documents.

in his "Under Advisement Ruling" made a very sexist remark! He stated I felt | Judge was wronged in my divorce. I believe this was unappropriated, unfair and a bias remark. President/CEO is not aware of the "FACTS" in Judge lied in this court; stating in a signed document and under penalty of perjury, there failed to divulge was an was nothing in the works for this company. What The SEC stated the JS SEC \$ upcoming, company was "DEBT FREE" and they hoped the company would be worth \$: by the did not materialize; however, this major subsidiary was sold to end of The , with milestone payments to follow". , for "\$ of in

At the beginning of this case at the first hearing with the Honorable, Imentioned there was anfiling; however,attorney,assured the judge, (on record) there was very little to be addressed as it was JUST A SMALLAMOUNT and it was a 'small family owned company'.lied to the court! The\$had been in the works sinceaccording to the SEC filings.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.