State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-075

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges, one of whom is now retired, failed to enforce prior court orders in a dissolution proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to both judges, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 12, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the two judges on April 12, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-075

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: _

___ Date:

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files,

Judge did not keep his Word He stated in Uphold Would orders COURT u de and did not vehold Chanaed and hus mind ecision of Jud Ordered conservator Client, 100.00 each dat They were ate a day ThAT another was rdes MOFTARC for \$100 AV Pay a day ThP INSUFANCE ON 0050 V DECE STATTED altimers disease 10 has adopted SON. CONSERVOID 115 P. MYAI u/as UFTher a MOUNT SC Mailina Sett in Protem W05 10 OD-that Case beremoved from Was 150 40 name OF Same weh as Se are COO 18.5 and 95 Decre nal 40 DIVISION 000 15 Я Paid WRIE 10.305 - 00 ac TINA ACING e no

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

s. . Pag 3 2016 - 075and or Via conservator later filzd japers in court that the house was his and not the recorders office has this document in the files Porther orders were \$100.00 aday for each Day Mortgage was not paid ontime. There was sodays (ending of \$160-00 ady Sine -Then there was groowaday Stor not paying Mortgage starting Soon start Then it weat to 250. a day after the sodays ending Judges orders for refinancing \$ 3,490, \$5,000.00 \$ 10,750.00 (thent 2 from - then 250-road af from for \$250-00 adap - 2,500. ~ - non of this was ever paid This is what Judge changed his mind and Danot vphold Judge orders as he stated in court that he would uphold. Please see the poor copy of letter I Sent to Judge Sincerty