State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-081

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court hearing officer was rude to him and made improper rulings in a civil traffic matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the hearing officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 18, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the hearing officer on May 18, 2016.

to a case. I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct. Signature:____ Date: ___ INSTRUCTIONS Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge



I am writing this letter becuse when i went to my hearing on with Judge i believe i was treated verv unfairly and put down while the officer was treated very respectfully. At the beginning the Judge read out the violation i said yes-she then said in a and said do you understanc rude matter WAS I TALKING TO YOU i said you said she did apologize but i think this is the reason she was very rude to me the rest of the hearring. The office told the judge stuff that was not true about the condition of the parking lot she said it was dirt but it was not, it was graveled and not dirt-when i told the officer i proof of this the judge shut me down and said i did not know how to ask a proper question-when i ask the officer why she allows dailey paking of city vechicles who also park to go in and out of the resturant the judge again stoped me and started to answer the questions for here and still said i dont know how to ask proper question, i dont think it is a judges job to answer for the officer.



-when it was my time to show my pictures the judge looked at them and say they were not printed out the day of the vilation but the day after she said my evidnce could not be used-but she said she seen somthing in the picures that benificed the officer-How could she change her mind and say my pictures proving the lot is graveled are bad but ok to help the officer?? I showed her a letter from the zoning commission that had the proof that the lot was zoned for parking and meet all requirements she read outloud in court only a small part that talked about lots with apartments on them she skiped over the regulation that was underlined this was my proof but for some reason skipped over it, when i ask her about that she said my evindence needed to be on a special letter head signed by a upper employee from the commisson and she ask me who i taked to i gave her the name but would not take my evidence once again helping out the officer



The Judge ask the officer if there was a alternative to park on the officer said yes just east of the restaurant.but this is false information i talked to the owner of the lot and he said you cant park there your car will be towed and the same officer also writes tickets on this lot also how can a judge suggest telling people to park on a unsafe lot-if the officer previously told her about this lot before the hearing and said it is ok i belive the officer and judge are both incorect stating this is safe parking. The judge still took the officers side and was still treating what i thought was very rude i dont know why she was angery with me, the privious hearing before me the Judge was nice she gave breaks cut there violations in half because they were first time tickets, i have not had a ticket for years but she gave me the max fine of \$288 for a parking ticket it cost me \$316 with fees. I felt i was made an an example of because i corrected her at the beginng but that was not my fault



I know this was last but the judge and court staff to me to return what the judge ask for and i did get sighned papers from the zoning commission- i personaly took them to the court then a month latter got i got a letter back and it said i did not sumittt my letter back in 14 days for a appeal, the judge and staff did not say i had 14 days it took 20 days to get the letter from the commisson,i spent a lot of time getting my evindence before the hearring only to say it was not good enough and the jugde saying if had to be special everything make it impossible for me to win my case, she the officer had no evidence. I talke to the supevisors of the officers and he said he does not understand the zonning laws himself but he said the officer does not write and tickits to state and city vechicles on the lot, why have a dust law when only restaurant customers only get tickets, do city vechicles not stur up dust. if i had got a ticket for speeding or somthing wrong i would not complain and pay it but this ticket was totaly wrong and i belive owes me \$316 dollars.to this day you can see the cars and emploees use the lot for businees and resuaurnt use.thank you

1