State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-085

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner purposefully manipulated court transcripts against the complainant's interest.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 18, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on May 18, 2016.

State of Arizona Commission of Judicial conduct 1501 W. Washington street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Complaint against a Judge

has allowed or directed the court recorder or transcriber, last name to leave 12 words out of the transcript. As you can see on of the Court Notice/Order/Ruling when I was asked "Do you still want to plead quilty?" For my reply it only has "I think want is ... " What I said was " I think want is a big work. No this is not what I want to do." The reason this court has edited the transcripts is because at the end of the Evidentiary Hearing on the when I said on the stand under oath "No this is not what I want to do." the Judge said if I had ever said "No this is not what I want to do." he would have not have proceeded. That is a lie. He just rephrased the question and changed the want for willing. I understood the question perfectly there was no need to clarify. I sent the Judge a declaration of consisting of explaining what I have said repeatedly "No this is not what I want to do." First in the motion to withdraw from the nlea agreement. Then on the stand at the Evidentiary Hearing on Then I sent him a asking him if he was going to kite a couple of days after make a decition when he got the transcripts because I told him I know that I said "No this is not what I want to do."

At the Evidentiary Hearing my attorney said at the end of the hearing that he just got a copy of the transcript and was going to make a copy and come see me the following week to prepare the memorandom points of interest. He never came to see me. I never got a copy of the transcripts until days after he filed the memorandom. As a matter of fact attorney only came to see me 1 time with my file and that was on

the day before the Evidentiary Hearing. He never answered 1 letter or kite that I sent him and I sent him at least 20 letters and 20 kites. As a matter of fact on the weekend of and I wrote him a 10 page letter. Half way there I spent 1 whole page explaining that I had worked for and and that I was in the class action law suit. I even wrote down how much my settlement was and got and that I was going to ask him what law suit I was in when I talked to him. So I calld him in the early part and asked him. He did not know. I asked him if he ever watched of the and he said oyea good movie. I said yes that just proved you dont ever read the mail I send you. It is recorded at the jail and his phone number is please look into this also. In the kites and letters I wrote to him on I told him to send me the transcript a couple of days before he came to see me so I could go over it first. Like I said he never came or sent the transcript. As a matter of fact I had been sending kites to the court and attorneys since they would not send me the transcript. After I got the transcript and the courts decision I have asked the Judge to listen to the audio in 2 kites and the letter of Declaration that I wrote. I have asked both attorneys to order the audio to prove that 12 words are left

out of the transcript and that what I said was "I think that want is a big work. No this is not what I want to do." It has got to be against the law for a court to manipulate the transcripts to suit the courts wants. I understand human error but 12 words. Why arent there any words left out anywhere else? Please look into this and order the audio to show that what I have said over and over is true. I know what I said. Why wont this court under Judge order the audio and do the right thing? This is a manifest of injustice. I went to court and Judge blatantly lied and said he listened to the audio when I know for a fact he did not. Most likely I will be sent to prison on told the court in my Declaration that I want to appeal the decision and file a rule 32 if the court does not reverse the decision. So please look into this and find me at whatever prison I go to. Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Dated Respectfully,