State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 16-087	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights, allowed the prosecutor to slander him, and coerced him into signing a plea agreement.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer **Executive Director**

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 21, 2016.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:	
Date:	

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

To a Complaint due to facts indudges are not being giving opportunities to consult on a land private manner in there cases. No convertible are done in private. They are performed during court sessions amongst others not in a conference Rm. but in court proceeds and then immates are told to be quiet and are coerced to sign a plea or else. Also Prosecutors slander as Attorneys do also by speaking behind there Clients backs, Overlooking the Attorney Client Privilege Clause, why is this going on is that against tour Constituted Laws and right to the Dic Process of [Au. The Judge has allowed the Prosecutor to Slander and Steriotype and prejudices acase by racially stating incourt by stating in one court second that, was not even born back in a crimine he were nothing about that case & show could be Slander me about that case And the undge and my Attorney allow ed that in his wurt room proceedings: That alone ore indeed my case and was uncalled for Prosecuto Named on a Civil Suit in my part and that show we excuse him in my case as a conflict of interest. But vor July should have been notified by my Attorney to have him empore that I was I my behalf. So why is the turb allowing Prosecutor that I was on this cosecute my case. has violated my cights to have found that court proceeding in his court Room and Minute enteries in court can be verified on Record.

To: Arizana commission on Judicial Conduct. 1501 W. Washingto Street Suite. 229 Phx. AZ. 85007

> Judge; continues to allow unwanted wording in content in his court and also Plea Bargains agreements that are not legally and inproperly signed and documented the day they are presented IN his court room such as the ove Proposed and shower to me back on. which and Attorney and the Judge Conspicred during court room records and sitting to verify those documents without being signed by a syperine When presented to me, My One Process of LAW to a terrand impartitual hearing were violated by those involved, once more how can a Prosecutor shortout and claim that he was not even bornin but incourt to say that tom a crimial. If he was not yet bornhe nos nothing of that case sowing is allowing those strictyping and prejudices remark being made on secord during court room proceedings and allowed to Slander me amongs to the As I clamo inCourt minutes our Attorneys are late we converse in a Jury Box intront of many immates and others in that court room No privacy and then are told by the Judge to be quiet durying these court room hearing. One we not allowed to converse in a private and time y manners as to Plead our case to our Council Is that also against our cights because we are not being quing the proper reppresentation to Pleasedour case to a fair and impartail bearing intront of a Judge Why is cuit once being misplaced once in was weittenand taken in statements bu 2 Appointed Public Defenders

To: AROZONA: Commission Judicial Conduct: 1501 wwashington Street Snite. 229 Phx AZ. 85007 Being hidden or sugareable last or did not take place botyet those 2 ladies 1 were concerned enough +8 take me to those court Koom appointments because the were concerned, Again those testimones and interviews. should have been Fax and Sent to Judge chambers and also Faxed to my Attorney and to the Prosecutor is that prot so Mr. Irestractor another violationing Bad Judge ment by all involved and should be uncalled for and addressed in this Compaint my constitutional rights are being rileted why Prejudices. And why are the courts or our Attorneyror legal system Not advising us as to the proper time frames to file. Notices of Claims agaist these that have wronged as and also continue to harass and boutilize the publicies a manguays. does not advise or Here at provide Legal Services so We No of No time Frames on when to file. We are pring incorporated and unlawfully prosecuted agaist our will and rights so we have no legal claims to stand ON. The also goes against the Instice Systemin this Country Our legal rights are being taken and hidden away here of and in our Court Proceedings by our Attorneys for the good or bother of the State and Country of AZ Why? Why was Pleafyreement changed after we had signed a food taith agreement from a with 1 prior to an agriculted with other priors added I was coerced to sign and think that The Prosecutor The Judge and my Astoney were dealing in Good Faith not illegally Schemeing agaist me behind my back.

paye 4 Arizona: Commission on Judicial Conduct: 1501 w Wishington Street Par A. Aretaining to my casets Beginning with the Hard 2 nd Public Ofenders assigned in my case in which 2 testanics and statements from 2 witnesses that took me. to my 135 and 240 Count appearances backon because they were conserned as to what had taken place that evening in my drive way as they sat in there Shill and a twessed apolice cost full infront of 2 trash cans in front of my divenay and male office jumbel out of the passenger side of that verhile and come to enter into my driveway to throw mean the dirt driveway to handereff me and beat and drag me of of my private property be canso be claused I was riding abigus without a headlight at wight but yet in the Photos I how in the police report it shows daylight The 35 Public Defender asynd was reported to the State Boy of AR. TO imaprofreate behavior due to his wegligentrin the laws and his recording Keeping due to his investigating jumy case that he claims any and all inferences more fort place which is Table because those of terrieus were in a building was replaced the 48th Protogo asigned, was also replaced due to his neight gover and Protessword Knowladge in the laws of this State The 5 asigned was also replaced by a paid attorney due to her importessional character and work ethics and behavior. 6th Asignal Propono a so was that was in protesionable in the laws and investigating ethics we also replaced is the Case. He was also reported to the It, Barot AR.

page 5 Arizona Commission Indicial Conduct: 1501 W. Wishington Street Physics 85007 and Sudge have over seen that 2 judges case and has a dark andly projudered this case by letting the State Prosecutor to un lawfully entera plea agreement that was not anthorized properly signed and documented but was Prosecutor and the presiding Judge Enterland state Laws my constitutional rights, the Due process of Cary to a. fair and important proceeding and to a fair and speedy that were munapulate by all waved above in this letter. I was coased to says a pleathat was not authorized by the state on that was verbilly stated but not proper to signed in his unented and had to be signed off court Date. These individual have unlawfully conspired to enter and uplanotal pleasing a vilad signature to claim its rights to bind a courts order in this case. That alone is a violation and court Ethies we Judges or lawyers or Prosecutor part to misapupreate and misquate the lague that they are bounded to wall states of Amorican is that not so. IN court wom a Plaintif is not given the apportunity to consult in a timely manufect or in a private conference a one on one without offers present. in a court room jury box that alone is another violation in his Courtism of a persons privacy rights to a fairhening by Red. and thate laws. That also has strictupe and prejudices my case due to the fact that I could not converse with my afterney las private but only my court room otmospher while other court room and hearings are going an atouce.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.