State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-092

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge delayed rulings in his civil case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Margaret H. Downie did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: August 15, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 15, 2016.

2016-092

IN THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT IN AND FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

COMPLAINANT))
) PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
V.)
)
)
)
RESPONDENT)
	/

Plaintiff in the above captioned matter, complains the Hon.

has chosen to join Defendant's counsel in conspiracy of silence. By his declaration, Judge

should be removed from the bench. He is unfit to serve as a

Judge.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORIOTIES

Article V1, Sec.21.

speedy decisions

Section 21. Every matter submitted to a judge of the court for his decision shall be decided within sixty days from the date of submission thereof.

Arizona Constitution

CONSPIRACY OF SILENCE

THE CRIME: CONSPIRACY OF SILENCE

1	The main elements of a conspiracy are a (1) specific intent, (2) an agreement with another
2	person to engage in a crime to be performed, and the (3) commission of an overt act by one
3	of the conspirators in furtherance of the conspiracy. *
4	
5	*For the purpose of delay, and with the strategy of attrition, [is an year old,
6	with a life expectancy to age and through the proffered, but severely,
7	flawed Motion To Dismiss, and misuse of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure (ARCP) the
8	Defendants have attempted to deny the ability to litigate his dispute to its final
9	adjudication. Ergo, is denied Due Process, a crime, by violation of the ARCP for the
10	specific intent of denying Due Process to recapture his financial losses and damages;
11	for violating national and Arizona Constitutional right of Due Process. Is attrition
12	tantamount to an act in the furtherance of the death of the Plaintiff an year old,
13	;
14	COMPLICITY IN THE CONSPIRACY
15	The American Bar Associations Model Rules of Professional Conduct, (2003) page 335, §
16	3.3. "One must conclude it is the intention of the Defendants to mislead this Court".
17	The Court has not replied, responded, or ruled in this matter since
18	CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
19	Moreover, the Court has established abridgements to Due Process in violation of Section
20	4 of Rights: No person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without Due Process of
21	law.
22	Additionally, Section 25 of the Constitution of the State of Arizona, Bills of Attainder;
23	ex post facto law; impairment of contract obligations
24	2

25

25

1	DECLARATION OF MAILING
2	DECLARATION OF MAILING The undersigned individual that the original and a copy was hand delivered to the Clerk of the Court, and a cop was distributed to the following recipients:
3	
4	LAW OFFIES OF
5	
6	
7	Hon.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	4