## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

## Disposition of Complaint 16-106

Judge:

Complainant:

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly ruled on a motion to disqualify himself and also alleged the judge made improper rulings in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Anna Mary Glaab did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: June 14, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 14, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2016-106

Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W Washington St., Suite 229 Phoenix, as 85007

Re: Fle no:

To:

Dhave foropten the date, but Dash the State Ban to foreword a copy of my Supplement Brief "to you, but D mever heard from you. It's inreguards to Judge . That I want to file a complain

agamist forhis deliberate violation of the Rules of

particularly the Rules of Professional Conduct contained in Rule 42, volume 17A of the ORS. Due to a Conflict of Interest due to his deliberate stupidity and prejudice he has towards me, he has shown his lacking the intelligence that sequired, as well as his knowledge of the law.

The principle of the american Junisprudence "is
That all individuals are presumed immorant until
proven quilty in a competent Court of law. Which this
Court was not and as a result a deliberate conspinacy was formed by all the various players to
consist the Defendant of a crime that never did
happened just to justify their own actions.

browship and a primaria art retry, where Thereby, with the showing of his studied in the sound

prejudice of the State of arizona's Justice System."
Which is mothering more than a Shamand Markery"
of the same. Defendant is entitled to a fair trial
by law. The primary smotivation of these various
Players is not justice, but to consist, therefore the
Justice system in the State of arizona is very much
broken indeed and fact

On I filed a motion Oioqualifying Judge. "Then on he rules on This very

Motion Thats against him, Thereby dening the said Motion. Every Motion That I have filed in This case, he Judge has in fact denied, except

The motion for appointment of Counsel.

This "Supplement Brief" is unrequented Tomy direct open of the Compilary that you appeal, but I sure amisque to that you head by Judge against me, as well as the other various Players involved, Thank-you.

Respectfully Signed;