

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 16-110

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made inappropriate comments during a sentencing hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 25, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the commissioner  
on May 25, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided are true and correct and that the alleged judicial misconduct are based on

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

11. " EXCUSE ME, OF  
YOUR HONOR? WAS  
CITIZENS ON TRIAL IN YOUR COURT? ISN'T  
THIS JUDGE ON THE PUBLIC PAYROLL FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF APPLYING THE LAW TO THE  
FACTS OF THE CASE BEFORE HIM, & NOTHING  
MORE? IS IT REALLY WITHIN THE SCOPE  
OF HIS DUTIES TO SHOOT-FROM-THE-LIP  
IN THIS TRUMP-LIKE MANNER? ARE SUCH  
COMMENTS INTENDED TO ENCOURAGE  
RESPECT FOR LAWFUL AUTHORITY AMONG  
MEMBERS OF ANY GENERATION? DOES  
THIS COMMISSION APPROVE OF THIS TYPE  
OF EXTRANEOUS COMMENTARY BY MEMBERS  
OF THE JUDICIARY?