### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 16-120	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him and ignored the law in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 22, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer **Executive Director** 

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 22, 2016.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-120

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.		
Judge	attitude towards me	
	, is troubling to me	
because he "scoffs"	at me and seems to	
be solving around will	the te	
best evidence of this	<b>~</b> \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
recordings of the court hearing, for example		
when we were disco		
work because my do	ctor to ld me I could no	
longer do my job au	d then suffered another	
heart attack	said to	
"IF	he can prose it."	
I filed a modification	a stating that	
(Patitioner) Received \$	three the	
that Judge	signed. Hetitioner	
also is now makings	for month and	
Should not qualify Sor	Sousal maintenance, I	
Jeal Judge	ignored S.R.S. 25-319(A)	
and granted continue	d & per month support	
along with her after	new Sees because he	
1 ,	st me for reasons I do	
not lenderstand. Ia	m half	
and that may be the	reason.	
and that may be the reason.  Please review my divorce case and see if you also Seel I am not being treated		
See if you also Seel	I'am not being treated	
)	2 Thank you sainly.	
	-	