

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 16-121

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly handled a dependency case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 22, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 22, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.**

**I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.**

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

Allegation of child abuse and dependency case are submitted to the court with out proof of abuse lack of due process happen in false statements made by DCS case worker 1st statements claims that moved back to his mothers residence and it was unsafe 2 nd reports that residence was unknown and initials court appearance date could not be given to dependency case and discuss child return lack of due process . Criminal false reporting was made and entirely case is false still has no evidence of wrong doing. han files fact of law and more case evidence in defence and requested to speak the judge 3x and all denied. Act of fathers rights not given and child welfare not taken into consideration .child still not returned months later .