State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-140

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, did not give him notice of his rulings, and did not timely rule on his post-conviction relief matters.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 13, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 13, 2016.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-140

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
what you bel times, and p along with co	s: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in you lieve the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the claces that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages mat opies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper of the complaint for your records.	y be attached
01/	my petition for post conviction was filed	<u>en</u>
	The Judge Had 20 day's to enter Hisorder a	xcording
To the Ar	rizona rules of criminal placedure Tule 32.5	
	It has bee	n <u>months</u>
The Judg	ge Has purposely delayed my proceedings since it	was the
	that reversed his order. The court Judge	Nas
octed	extremly Bios	
	While The alleged victim has rec	ionted
Statine		s no longer
o prosec	cutor. Threatned and coerced him. Along With the	e detective
	and also testifying to this on .	
		The Judge
has no	t token any action, by contacting the State BAR	•
The per	titioner has also attempted to proceed proper to	raise
the De	etective's on going misleading and manipulation	1 THE
Judge	, has been made oware of all misconduct. The	Judge_
nas de	inled this right to petitioner with out giving the	petitioner
a noti	ce and reason through minute entry's	
	Ů .	
Thisis	not the FIRST time the Judge has dissmissed or	denled
the per	titioner's proceedings and failed to give noti	ce The
Judae	did this With petitioners first rule 32. proceeding	as When
DCR (titioner's proceedings and failed to give notion did this with petitioners first rule 32. proceeding and on province was	etitloners

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-140

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _	Judge's Name:	
what you believe the judge did times, and places that will help along with copies (not originals keep a copy of the complaint for		nist all of the names, dates, onal pages may be attached side of the paper only, and
D.C.R. Places	edings where petitioner raise	d this actual
inno cense claim	edings where petitioner raised	
THE CELL OF THE CASE OF THE CA	The problem is the J.	dae's fallure
to follow the sula	s of climinal ocoredule h	as caused
undue delay to	The problem is the Just of Climinal procedure has case was remanded in	
the section	problem is the Judge's or loner of denieting his mot without this is an actualise since it is the	ian olves
70 11071179 peril	offer of the is an act	of extreme
1635011 70 3rto	Also close (1) to the	time
plas and bien	voice since it is the	111101
		*