State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-162

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge entered a judgment containing perjured statements in a civil matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 20, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 20, 2016.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-162

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did times, and places that will he	
An the	Tudquent Granting In Part Defendant
The issue a	a complaint is that in the
The statement	are lies.
Law &	taking a complaint on
out of the	inizona Code of Judicial Londuct 11:
- 1, Rule 2	22 Impartiality and Fairness
	.5 Competence Diligence and Coperation 15 Responding to Indical and Lawyer Mis conduct.
	THE CO PLANE !
Lauger Coxxt in the	Kas committed Forand on the
·	has been reported to
Reference ()