

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-172

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against them, failed to act in an impartial manner, and did not afford the parties an opportunity to be heard in a civil matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 27, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainants and the judge
on July 27, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

This is a typical case of small town politics, involving the Judge, Plaintiffs attorney and the Court system. The department, The Chief of Police of is aware of these criminal offenses. Whether this is race, hate, religion, it has the same negative effect. Corruption is a common everyday fact, at every walk of life. At some point of life, somebody has to stand up for justice and the foundation of MORAL PRINCIPLES, which are the basic fundamentals of fair equality, THE FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM, giving ALL FOLK the chance to live the American Dream. EVIL CAN ONLY PREVAIL WHEN GOOD FOLK STAND BY AND DO NOTHING. GEORGE W. BUSH SR.....

Judge did not adhere to the: Rules of Professional Conduct and Code of Judicial Conduct: (1) Judge did not comply with federal and state laws. (2) uphold the law impartially (3) Perform judicial duties without bias, prejudice or harassment. (4) Failing to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary, (5) Failing to dispose of judicial matter promptly efficiently and fairly. (6) Failing to diligently discharge administrative responsibilities without bias. (7) Engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice: Cannon 1 Uphold Integrity, 1.A Maintaining and enforcing high standards; Cannon 2.A Judge shall respect and comply with the Law, 2.B

Judge shall not allow family, social and political,(Small Town); Cannon 3
Preform duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently. A. Judicial Duties

1. (A) Disqualification, 2. (A) Be faithful to the Law. 3. Require order and
decorum. 4.Be patient, dignified and courteous. 5. Perform judicial duties with-
out bias or prejudice. 7. Shall accord every person who has legal interest in a
proceeding the right to be heard according to the Law: E. Disqualification; 1. (A)
(D),(11) Is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding. Cannon 4. A. (1) Reasonable
doubt. E(3) F. Service as Arbitrator or Mediator: The court IV would never give
or sell defendants copies of the Audio Recording of any of the Hearing.

attorney for the Plaintiffs main argument was he could not understand
and that the Plaintiffs Deny everything. also stated in the
hearing that we defendants had no right at all. Judge had no
jurisdiction over the case; After and the Judge
held a hearing on for

PLEASE send us all our documents back to
us if you do not use them or when you
finish using. Thank you very much.

Email

Phone #