State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-181

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged judicial misconduct by a superior court commissioner in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Anna Mary Glaab did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: August 24, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on August 24, 2016.

COPY

1 2 3 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZON 2016-181 4 5 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF 7 8 STATE OF ARIZONA, 9 Plaintiff, Case No.: 10 VS. 11 12 Defendant 13 HONORABLE 14) Judge 15 3rd Party Plaintiff, 16 17 18 NOTICE TO 19 NOTICE TO 20 A copy of this motion will be filed 21 22 IS CLEARLY PREJUDICED AGAINST 23 DEFENDANT AFFIDAVIT 24 According to 25 26 27 28

_	ASTI	o rer Detend	iant, i assert my	right in this cause. Faragra	apn A. Grounus state	
2	ы					
3						
4					" (
5	_)					
6	Viola	tions of Arizo	ona Code of Judi	icial Conduct Rule 1.1, 1.2,	2.2, 2.3, (A), (B). 2.7,	
7	2.11, (A)(1), (2) c, 2.15 (D)					
8	1. Preju	dicial Action	s Against Defend	dant:		
	a.	On	hearing	, denied the filing	s that were filed that	
9		day, that w	ere of record, re	lating to Defendant's	proceeding, even	
10		though the	filings a	ntecedent materially affect	s this matter.	
11	b.	On	hearing,	attempted to remove fr	om evidence the filing	
12		from	66]	", which c	ited on	
13		Cover Page	; with	speaking to me stated that	he didn't " 'this	
14		filing, retur	ned it to me thre	ough my Counsel at that tin	me, .	
15		Regardless	of his prejudicia	l actions towards this Cert	ified Document,	
16		re	emains culpable	for its contents, and it was	not removed from thi	
17		case. This	action reveals hi	m to be incompetent as wel	l as prejudiced.	
18	c.	On	hearing	denied my request for a	n " " review	
19		as my case	has numerous m	atters unique to it. Althou	gh within his rights to	
		deny this it	also reveals furt	her prejudice.		
20	d.	On	hearing	heard for the first time	(
21) tl	nat I had been	
22		assaulted by	y members of th	e on	•	
23		Important (to note that any	judge is responsible to revi	ew the case before the	
24		first hearin	g to be knowleds	gably to proceed in the inte	rest of justice.	
25			plied by stating		" Bringing	
26		this out in o	pen court revea	led clearly that I am a "	" according to	
27				. However	ignored this fac	
28						

19 20

25 26 27

28

set another hearing, continued to keep me incarcerated. Also additional evidence in the form of a CD copy of a recording of the assault continued to who refused to file them into evidence on be withheld by behalf of Defendant.

e. On held a witness hearing.

I am

not a flight risk as I fully intend to prevail in my matter. denied my immediate release thus further endangering by continuing to force her to live alone against the expert advice of doctor as he is her neurologist As stated by Defendant, in

learing near the close of the session I made statement to f. On was continuing to withhold how my Attorney evidence - the CD audio of the assault thus obstructing justice to my injury and to bring awareness to the

. Instead of mercy for another human's plight and with no justice in sight I was given more time in incarceration in county jail, as I was escorted out of his courtroom I told that he should have indemnified me - just more obvious prejudice.

g.	After hearing		with	withdrew as my counsel for I had			
	validly accused him of withholding evidence and obstruction of justice to my						
	hurt. Now	with	in custody and	hearings I am wondering			
	where justice in the matter can be found.						

- h. is also a landmark day due to the fact that my matter was now in default because the STATE never replied to my motion within the days, set forth in Minute Entry /Ruling by on | Defendant had until to file his petition; it was filed on The STATE had days to file an answer but the STATE failed to do so. On Defendant filed "
- i. On hearing accepted my choice to proceed Pro Per keeping as Advisory Counsel. At the end of the hearing I plead again for release; leaned forward and looking directly at me said "This simple statement is clearly an action of prejudice. I was sent back to jail for another weeks.
- j. On hearing, my day of incarceration as a victim and my Power of Attorney filing motions of necessity and at my request and on my behalf because this county jail repeatedly denies of my Legal Requests thereby obstructing my ability to defend myself. I was left with no other choice seeking justice. The CD audio of the assault was finally entered into evidence but tried to quash that too because the Prosecutor denied having her copy of it. also made it abundantly clear that my Power of Attorney was not to file anymore motions on my behalf without my signature on the papers. This is another clear action of prejudice by was also quick to pursue a ruling on my ".
 - ." This motion is based on Ct. Local Prac. Rules,
 This Rule states:

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.