State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-182

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings and comments during a family law case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 28, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 28, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2016-182

7. State your charge in your own words.

.

2016-182

Specifically, my case involves a situation where it began with the other parent was convicted of Domestic Violence, had an order of protection issued, and was found with cocaine and drug paraphernalia. These rough beginnings to the divorce proceedings were not the only incidents, as detailed in the order of protection. and other Judges prior on the case gave a fair order/ decree and all was well in

came on and presided over a hearing regarding parenting time in which was requesting a change in eductional authority. I had final educational authority by order and the Father requested only that changed as far as decision making along with parenting time.

The father submitted ridiculous, outrageous, documents to the Court, also to the Court liaison, which led them to believe my

vas a The fact that these were accepted by the Liason and the Court: This alone should cause suspension and to be disbarred. But goes even further and crosses unethical lines that are so egregious that I question how he was ever licensed as an an attorney, let alone allowed to sit as a Judge.

After attempting to explain the documents are fictitious, the Court proceeding ensue. ends the hearing with a long diatribe, going out of his way about how I am " over the top" " evasive" and " wanting everyone to know and this Court that I have lied". It was blatantly rude and went out of his way to belittle me over and over.

goes on to explain how the standards in the case are "his own personal prism". How unfortunate for me.

If . standards for custody are honesty, well I did not lie, but should lose all custody immediately for his many and outrageous lies which have hurt many people.

Finally, I would like to point out, thatconvicted of DomesticViolence, an order of Protection and found with Cocaine and DrugParaphernalia was NEVER spoken to so rudely.made aconcerted effort to target myself andfor no legitimate reason. This iswith the help ofandto bring all of that information to the Court and the Liason.

It is harassment to both of us. The result is that I lost custody of my children for no reason. The fact that follows "his personal prism" instead of Arizona Revised Statutes is nonsensical. The statutes preclude equal parenting time and legal decision making to any parent convicted of domestic violence.

I understand that most written charges against lawyers eventually become a public record. I understand that all information on this form, including my name and address, will be available for review by the lawyer and others who may view the file. This charge form and other submissions by me will be sent to the lawyer.

Date:

Signature: _

.