### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-197

Judge:

Complainant:

### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner/pro tem superior court judge violated his legal rights in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2016

### FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judicial officer on August 31, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

**CONFIDENTIAL** State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# 2016-197

### **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your Name

Judge's Name:

Date:

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint

## Rule 2.11. DisouchiFication (6)A) Pirt Presdimes

Juncuthorzed Stractice of In Rule I.I. Compliance with WAS MU ( bearing Fer and Aarassment. By Richter A Rule 2 BIDS 10 INSI Fair and impartial JurVITIAL OT hEATING Atterney is on Trial Records REFERING to Sasif His REFUSEdto And over stepping the sudicial Ethics ( )ATZUNG CODE rial by Sury Records NO CI DO GWS the t Judge The A that there ne DeFendant assertion the SEIF-JEFE AFFEASE Caress 70 Should have Prosen Further held entitled to assert the chune-listed Con Lon Lon deFense denied is Motion herself as trial Judgs when (She) Kns 2. was algority unable +~ 10 In in Pris m accunst M9 C1865 910 SET to SEE ME not have a 1. SOVIOUS EFFOT. W X

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

2016 - 197

# ComplainTAGAINSTA JUCEE

was biased and Prejudiced to the (extent) that she was To be SUFE aquinst ME. determined to see me convicted. The reason For her prejudice is

VERY Bias and Prejudice. My criminal trial pF was (not) a Fair and impartial trial. My Constitutional and legal rights were (violated) accordance to the Law. CODE OF Judicial ADMINISTRAtion, Rule 2.11. Personal Interests.

sbam.

The STATE had (advantage) Jury Trial due to involvement Tback in an indertaking (UnalthoTized Plactice of Law.)

Date A