State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 16-203
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper evidentiary rulings and improperly denied a request for change of judge in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 31, 2016. I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature

C. On or about

at about

) in which

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS				
Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.				
A. During the hearing concerning my divorce with Judge allowed as evidence into the hearing a victim witness statement written by in which there was no evidence of any of the accusations nor any truth to anything written in this statement. She allowed the words of to be used as evidence, as if she was an expert witness. The whole cause for the divorce was and and for her problem. The victim witness statement was part of use of the woman's advantage in the By claiming abuse and making a series of false claims, the matter of DOCUMENTED was never addressed by the judge (
). I was told around the time of this proceeding by that they would fully support my desire to have full custody of the common I was also told by my lawyer at the time that I would need about \$ to obtain full custody of my daughter, to subpeona medical records of and prove that she Being only an working in at the time, I did not have the financial means to purchase for my daughter that she deserves in the under Judge				
B. On or about and myself settled on I had				

I did not have the money to raise the issue of

(

. The police were called and I had to pick up our daughter

to view and look at

was at this time once again taken to

, against her will (after this incident. I discussed what had happened with). Shortly which		
applied for a protection order again because of this conversation about and child. We had a hearing before Judge shortly after. The order was not granted but I was warned about my use of speech that I should anyone about Apparently the Judge more about protecting.	of our e protection		
. During this hearing I attempted to submit the copies of multi-records showing and refused to accept these into court evidence.	inie police . Judge		
D. On or about submitted a request for hearing concedughter and a change of custody. I requested the use of my right to a change concerning this matter. I submitted this request the week of change of judge, from was denied by Judge office stating that the			
(). The request for change or judge was submitted with my answer concerning this matter and within my lay window that i was allowed to answer this matter.			
E. I worked for was my had keys to every room and had a close working relationship with almost every employee in this building, including Judge not believe that I would receive a fair and impartial hearing from ANY judge that I worked with in this courthouse concerning this matter. For this reason Judge should have recused herself from this latest request by concerning our daughter and granted my request for a change of judge, to a judge that was not on duty during my employment			
F. Judge he welfare of mothers before the welfamily , for the sake of her sexually biased agenda in This is done without regard for the outcome of the children involved. Her sexu family matters is not suitable for a family court in any setting. Children's welfa always come first- even if this means giving custody of the child to the father.	ıal bias in		