#### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 16-205	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and failed to follow the law in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer **Executive Director** 

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 31, 2016.

## CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-205

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge'	's Name:	
what you believe the judge times, and places that wil	rm or plain paper of the same size of did that constitutes judicial miscond help the commission understand ypinals) of relevant court documents. In for your records.	nduct. Be specific and list all of the your concerns. Additional pages ma	names, dates, ay be attached aper only, and
by.	he is discriminating a	gainst my offense as a s	exoffender
	lice. I have filed many cas		
rights. T	here decision protected	from abuse	by author-
ities that brokes or s	ton't follow the Arizona rule		
	that protected defendant		
bias and projudice	s. Especially case law dec	ilded by the Arizona Cou	ct of Appeals
•	eme Court agreeing with -	•	
Lookat Casalaw			
	I filed th	us petition on	Once court
accept plea, double	jengardy has attached On		accepts
• •	obation double jeopardy he	as attached See Exhibit [	When Pro-
bation Officer		ex offender Probation she	
jeopardy attachmic	t. See Exhibit ( 1.	violated Ariz. R. of Co	ort Marvel
•	2ve 24 had say of the f	End judgment to correct	any unlew.
fol sentence. The State		last Lots of his rights from	
P.O. Optadd Mar	e restriction, Plus under Sex	offender Pobotion I last a	w good time
eredits day for em	cry day of good time carn	2) I lost access to learn o	onputer (my
	ed computer); 3) lost to go to	-	•
	ed a crime in church. Probatio		d double jeop-
• •	U.S. Constitution decided o		•
	criminated, best and proju	•	
	- Jeopardy . See Exhibit (		
_ Look at Casel			
	) I filed	see Exhibit	

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-205

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _	****	_ Judge's Name:	
what you believe the times, and places the along with copies (n	this form or plain paper of the sepudge did that constitutes judice at will help the commission undo to originals) of relevant court do mplaint for your records. See S	ial misconduct. Be specific an lerstand your concerns. Addit cuments. Please complete on	d list all of the names, dates, cional pages may be attached the side of the paper only, and
	seek relief from the tri		
Key 1970 (1) A	Jaiver of the right ago	inst self-incriminati	in may not be require
as a conduti	n of probation, Execut	For the crime at i	rsue in the solee agree
menti U.S.C.A	. Const. Amdt 5.		hes
violuted this	caselow, because she	daina	detendant
plea to count	enly others	was dismiss unde	er the plea agreement
Defendant	rights to protect	ed myself against	that
vislated my U	S. Conet. righteans to fo	Mow the pleasagreem	ent, that above my
rightsunder	carelan	ree Exhibite	plain view and
understantiv			elf-incrimination
and discrimi	niting, blad and proju		
law that pro	tected defendant		Exhibit!
On the san	e date		<u> file a</u>
Nunc pro tu	ic on rea		ine protune, correction
the time entry		reflect the following	
Count I	Ittempted Molestation of	a child for probati	an after imprisonment
	exual conduct with a mi		
	A	•	Crim. Prac. Rule 24
21	we to corrected the cl	•	Exhibit!
	rected, because I'm for		
State v. Peck	case. My term for probo	ation shouldn't be life	e but for vertein
and illegal sen	lence. Waiting for this	decision from	
-	le do I have when	_	Geriminating, blas

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-205

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	Judge's Name:
times, and places that will he along with copies (not original keep a copy of the complaint fo	r plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, p the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and your records. And prejudice when the Authoritics Kepts on
abusing there powers	when case law protected and when
caselow says that	when case law protected and when projected is also sty under U.S. Constitution.
what rights do I	I has when the second highest court
interpret the case la	wand its meaning and State highest court agree with
them.	has violated defendant rights to protected
	e kind of about by the authorities, who don't follow
the laws that prote	cted defendant rights. Dy denying each
motion by his discr	mination, bias and projudices each time the caselow
says the rules and	defendants protection under U.S. Constitution inter-
pretions. This is the	only thing I can do filed a complaints against
a discriminating, b	as and projudke who don't follow any
case law that prot	cted defendant rights. Where is the equal
protection of the in	ividual rights of each person when
Kept on discrimina	ing, bles and prejudice against defendant
د	ights protections under case lows, U.S. Constitution
and Ariz. R. Court " N	and under Avis R. Crim Proc., Rule 24 has day to
Pole to correct a sen	enced after final judgment that was
Probation Off	cer violated easelaw and Ariz. R. Cim.
Proc. Role 24 by	denying defendant motion violeted
	cacelaw and Aria. R. Court "Manuel" who protect-
ing the little person	today society by being discriminated, bias and
prejudice by	
, 1 , 1	