State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-002
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge failed to follow the rules of criminal procedure.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 14, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie
Margaret H. Downie
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 14, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

PLEASE ADVISE ...

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-002

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
I BELIEVE MAGISTRATE POLICY, REFUSING TO ADJUDICATION
CHSE'S INVOLVING PRISONERS WITHIN THE ARIZONA DEPARTHENT OF CORRECTIONS,
TO BE A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW, AN ABROGATION OF THE AMIZONA
RULES OF CRIMWAL PROCEDURE AND THE ARIZOVA COUSTAVYION, AND THAT
IMPOSITION OF SUCH A POLICY UPON THE CLURK OF THE
15 TANTA MOUNT TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF ALL
TYPUCABLE DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF MY ASSERTION.
AFREMATIVE TO RESOLVE AN UNMOTUDICATED TRAFFIC CASE, YN'
, I TWICE AGREMATED TO FILE A RULE 8.3 (b), ARCP, NOTIFICATION OF
MURISONMENT AND REQUEST FOR DISPOSITION, AND ON BOTH OCCASIONS THE
IGNORED MY PLEADINGS. IN A LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENC MAINSTRAT
OF THE INFORMED HE THAT IT IS HIS POLICY
TO REVIEW UNMOTUDICATED MATTERS ONLY UPON RELEASE FROM CONFINEMENT, AND
THAT NO FURTHER COMMUNICATION WOULD BE RECEIVED FROM THE COURT. THE ATTACHE
CORRESPONDENCES WILL SHOW OTHER HE WAS TRUE TO HIS WORD.
I BELIEVE THIS TO BE A DEMIN OF DVE PROCESS OF LAW, AND I BELIEVE
MARKET OF SUCH A POLICY ON THE TO BE OBSTRUCTION

OF JUSTICE. ARTICLE 6, SECTION 21 OF THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION REDVIRES

THELY DISPOSMION OF ALL MATTERS FIRED. DOES RULE, 8.3(b), ARCP, APPLY TO EVERY JUDGE IN THE STATE EXCEPT