## State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

| Disposition of Complaint 18-029 |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Judge:                          |  |  |  |  |
| Complainant:                    |  |  |  |  |

### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased against her, discriminated against her, and failed to maintain decorum in her court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 4, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie Margaret H. Downie Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2018.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-029

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

| Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.  |
|--|
| The hearing from start to finish was one sided and I was discriminated by the Judge on many occasions throughout this time. Her brother was the other petitioner and he was allowed to continuously talk to my Mom throughout the hearing. Also he was coached by her appointed lawyer and he admitted that was his lawyer also.   |
| allowed outbursts, accusations, and derogatory statements said by the other petitioner and his wife stay on the record without any reprimand from the court. I was accused of hitting my Mom, slapping her and abusing her from the age of . Not only have I never done any of the accusations these two have never met me and was not in My parents life for over . The last straw was when said he would never allow me to visit my mom he got guardianship because I belonged to the . That is extremely offensive to me and the judge should have said something to him instead of just sitting dumbfounded. That kind of opinion does not belong in a court of law or anywhere for that matter.   |
| Prior to the hearing physically blocked my sister and I from seeing my Mom. My lawyer brought this up in court and he did not deny that he did it but again the judge did nothing about this behavior. Also made accusations against my deceased Father who could not defend himself and this kind of outburst should have been stopped. Again I go back to the fact that these people had not seen my parents for over and had no clue about my parents.  |
| I received the minutes to the hearing from my lawyer, (see attachment), and their was so many lies and assumptions from the Judge. I had no intentions of leaving the state of AZ from the time I arrive in I went back to stay with my Sister to start the guardianship from When I arrived back in AZ my intentions was to live with my Mom in , (please note my parents resided in I. The house was to be foreclosed and I under oath said it would take some time and we could live their till the time evicted. This would give me the time to pack the house up and find a suitable apartment in the I area. The Judge said this was unsuitable arrangements but moving her to I away from an area she knew well and was 15 minutes away from where my Dad is buried. Tell me why my arrangement was not acceptable but the other one was. This screams of bias. |
| The Judge asked me if I would ever place my Mom in a nursing/assisted living facility and I said never even if a Docto   |

The Judge asked me if I would ever place my Mom in a nursing/assisted living facility and I said never even if a Doctor said so. I testified prior to that questioning that I had promised my Father I would never do that. Not only am I honest, I don't think it would be necessary if I was to take care of her. I had the most contact with her in the past 4 years and knew her habits. I was condemned for no good reason except for the Judge taking my answer out of context..

The Judge said one of the reasons I did not get the guardianship was because I was disabled. My disability should have never come into the decision. I am protected under the American Disability Act and the Judge discriminated against me. is also disabled and has many more illnesses then I will ever have. He has which is completely debilitating. How is he ever going to take care of my Mom. His disability was never mentioned in the hearing and that is discrimination against me by the Judge. Plain and simple. And if you don't believe me look up the definition.

For the judge to state that contact with my Mom was because of my Father is a downright lie and no testimony was ever founded. My Mom discussed many times with us that she did not like her family and the only thing they cared about was how much money she had. Out of she was the most successful one. The judge decided to put her opinion that was not substantiated into her decision.

| CONFI   | DENTIAL    |
|---------|------------|
| Arizona | Commission |

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     |  |  |  |  |  |

| COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE   |  |   |  |                            |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| Name:   | J  | udge's Namé                                       |  |                            |  |  |
| words what you believe<br>names, dates, times, and<br>be attached along with co | form or Vplain paper of the sa<br>the judge did that constitutes<br>places that will help the commi<br>pies (not originals) of relevant one<br>complaint for your records. | s judicial misconduct.<br>ssion understand your   | Be specific and list<br>concerns. Additional | t all of the<br>lpages may |  |  |
| did know about it. I called t   | they were unaware of the<br>o get more information and they t<br>s up her own information and ther   | told me that                                      | was the contact                              | person.                    |  |  |
| should have been receiving removed from the house ar                            | ack of knowledge by the Judge or<br>my Fathers Social Security all th<br>d I wasn't going to give more<br>Social Security benefits as "mea                                 | is time. I had an appoint money to steal. Why did | tment in b<br>dn't the Judge figure t        | out I was                  |  |  |
| In conclusion behavio   | r in the hearing was not in the mir  | nutes at all. He perjured                         | himself every other s                        | entence tha                |  |  |

In conclusion behavior in the hearing was not in the minutes at all. He perjured himself every other sentence that came out of his mouth but the Judge held his opinion to a higher standard then mine. My character was defamed and the Judge allowed derogatory comments to be made without saying a word or putting it in the minutes. Its very obvious by using my disability was discrimination against me as a female and a person.

This Judge needs to be removed from the bench for her biased opinions and discrimination against witnesses. The doesn't need a Judge like this making decisions on any case.