State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-030
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly ordered her to have a behavioral health evaluation and giggled in court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Paul J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 28, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie Margaret H. Downie Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 28, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-030

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
words what you believe the judge did names, dates, times, and places that will	paper of the same size to file a complain that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be sy help the commission understand your concer- ls) of relevant court documents. Please com- your records.	pecific and list all of the rns. Additional pages may
entries in original case, whatsoever on another. This case has case number, The origi Originally, was the Pre making comments that showed bias mo first attorney be withdrawn for failing to nor would even listen to my side. I requ also denied my right to self repre filed a motion to plead guilty, I just want said he would give me another attorney except tell me I was going to lose if I too anything for my defense, she told under his breath said he and made jokes between themselves.	nal case still shows was dismissed. esiding Judge, which I previously filed a contive and prejudice, giggling with prosecution investigate any of the many things that we ested to proceed "In Pro Per". esent, which also left me with no attorney. It is to be done with the stress, which also was like the first one, ook it to trial, then when she hadn't heard make were ready for trial. After sette was just going to deny them if she did file when she hadn't heard my side or investigate against her and she filed a motion to with	osure. See case minute ill No Disclosure under a new omplaint against for ion after I requested the ould justify a dismissal, withdrew. At the next court date, I was denied, however he failing to do anything my side or investigated ting a motion deadline, a them, they both giggled ated anything for my
morning, to determine if I was competer	nt or if I should be referred to	under
himself. The case went to attorney, which was that she was bias, telling me,	nich was attornev for original case, who ha	ered to appoint another and made it apparent then
so I declined, however accepted advise filing a Reply to the States Motion, scaring me into thinking I needed one. I just had a string of bad luck with the oth intended to use to show that the police him at a later time that I was a convicted that it was not true, but added to supporplate lamp on a vehicle that was pulling pursuant ARS 28-934. was to anything in my defense, which he had in being familiar to lamps not being require but could not remember if he stopped morder, as well. However, i still had a coabout the plate number, as not one placemade by a separate officer that filled out	ner attorneys. I told I had drafted report contained false allegations alleging difference from having a gun, without the part where I allegedly "lunge" for my a trailer, and exempt from the obstructed the only attorney who has even listened to interviewed the officer. During the interviewed if do to the location of another vehicle of the for my truck or trailer, and the State failing py proving it was the truck. In the interviewe in any of the disclosure, is it correct. in the	on to Suppress, and after attorney, pretty much I thought I must have ed a list of questions I an told out bothering to check or gun, leading a stop for a light being needed, or my side and pursued w, the officer admitted to caused an obstruction, ed to disclose the repair w, he also was asked fact the exact mistake is asked if he called in the

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	_
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	e y
officer said he had not noted anything and agreed when ordered plate since it was not noted to having that issue. The officer stated that he made the stop due to noperable plate lamp a illegibility of the plate, however the repair order was only issued for the plate lamp set a date for an Evidentuary Hearing, based on the Motion I drafted. At the Hearing, asked what the reason was for the stop, and the officer changed his story stating that he "tried to cook at the license plateas I'm driving, and I couldn't see — the plate was illegible". When asked if it was he plate itself that was not legible, he agreed. He was asked if anything was in the way of the view and its story contradicts what he stated in the interview, stating nothing was in the way and then "once I determined I couldn't see — well, the plate, we identify it and (indiscernible) said they didn't have the plate so they decided to make the stop. Then was shown a picture of the license plate taken 3 days after the stop and had not problem to read a poor quality, blurry picture in court. Salve and had not problem to read a poor quality, blurry picture in court. Salve and had not problem to read a poor quality, blurry picture in court. Salve and had not inverted the stop, however when prosecution brought up the obstructed lamp saue, explained how myself and the officer, which admitted in the interview, both understood in which the prosecutor answered claiming the law meant a truck thats pulling another vehicle, the other vehicle does not need its front lights to be lit. (See ARS 28-934). Salve and Motion, commenting on how well my Motion had been written. The State filed at timely Notice of Intent to Appeal, as well as the Designation of Record. I originally asked if would stay on the case and he told me he "would be happy" to keep my case. I had second thoughts and informed Mr. I wanted to handle the appeal myself, with him possibly staying on as advisory. The deadline for he Opening Brief was conditionally asked if would stay on the secretary	o. t, e
was hesitant to let me defend myself, because I have had problems when I was representing myself, and he amount of time this dragged on, and sent me to for a behavior health arraignment. I tried explaining the only positive progress I had was off my motion. I have Court next with	

...Continued from complaint.

And told that he would review the motion but said that he was probably just planning to object to the motion completely. The Judge wouldn't let me finish explaining as to why there has been so many different attorneys throughout my case. I started to tell her that none of the attorneys had bothered to investigate anything to benefit my defense, and the only one who even bothered to interview the officer, failed to disclose or submit the transcript, in which the officer also mentioned that he had not observed any defects in my driving, nor any of the 24 NHTSA DUI cues, and avoided every one of the questions at the Evidentuary Hearing. I tried to tell that to the Judge, but she didn't care to listen to anything I had to say, and did not even look at the Motion I had submitted whatsoever. I don't see how any of this has been aloud to continue. And why she sent me to a behavioral health arraignment scheduled with . It is in my file that I was already sent for a Rule 11 screening, and the doctor said that I was more then competent, and was totally normal for being upset over a situation, that he, also agreed, sounded like an abuse of court power. She mentioned as she set the date, I could not make out details, but her and the something about prosecutor giggled as I heard her say something about marking it as Evidentuary Hearing pending, and again the prosecutor said we probably won't need the Hearing if he objects to the motion. This case has dragged on in total since I was arrested I raised my right to a a speedy trial with because she kept asking for continuances to do such and such, and every time actually didn't do a thing, but ask the Judge to Waive time for the following continuance. I tried getting to look into whether my speedy trial right has been violated and they both and told me they refused to file a frivolous motion and did not look into it at all. This whole ordeal is a huge disgrace to the legal system. I am entitled to a fair hearing. How is this fair when both the attorneys and the judges all seem to be on the prosecutors side. I filed a complaint with the regarding a few prosecutors and a few attorneys last and they have completely ignored my complaint. I have not even got a response to tell me they have, haven't or won't review my complaint, not one word. You would think I was some dangerous criminal they were trying to get rid of, which if I were, that I could at least understand, but I am a nobody, with only one moving violation on my record , and a couple misdemeanors from also around from around and non moving violation, mostly from The prosecutors plea that they are offering me is for a jail term of suspended if I can pay all my fines on time, which I could hardly afford the bills I have now, while I still have my license. They want to take my license for a year, send me to alcohol treatment, and also prevent me from receiving food stamps or other assistance. This is well beyond what the sentence defined for DUI calls for (See ARS 28-1381) which is 10 days. How are they giving me a plea for the maximum aggravated sentence for a misdemeanor with only mitigating factors. Instead the Judge is acting like I am insane also for not just signing the plea. I told her that if I couldn't have an Evidence Hearing that I was ready to set a Trial as soon as possible, because I wanted to finish this. I believe that was the point she referred me to Please look into this matter as promptly as possible. I also understand that a Judge is not required to recuse, and am aware that my case will not be dismissed because of this complaint. All I am hoping to get out of this, is a fair hearing as I deserve. I am attaching also a copy of the motion I submitted today, and the complaint to the however I will not send the evidence I refer to in the motion or complaint, unless I am requested to, as that probably is not relevant for an investigation. Thank you, feel free to notify me of any other information that may be useful.